



CRM-M-29375-2025,
CRM-M-29144-2025
CRM-M-53269-2025

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

231-2 CRM-M-29375-2025

Mukesh . . . Petitioner(s)
Versus

State of Haryana . . . Respondent(s)
231-3 CRM-M-29144-2025

Sumit . . . Petitioner(s)
Versus

State of Haryana . . . Respondent(s)
231-4 CRM-M-53269-2025

Baljeet @ Bikku . . . Petitioner(s)
Versus

State of Haryana . . . Respondent(s)
Decided on :18.02.2026

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY VASHISTH

Present: Ms. Sweta Beniwal, Advocate for the petitioner
(in CRM-M-29375-2025)

Mr. Jatinder Nagpal, Advocate for the petitioner
(in CRM-M-29144-2025).

Mr. Madan Sandhu, Advocate for the petitioner
(in CRM-M-53269-2025)

Mr. P.K. Jhanda, Sr. DAG, Haryana.

Mr. Raman Chawla, Advocate for the complainant.

SANJAY VASHISTH, J. (Oral)

1. By way of this common order, all the aforementioned
petitions are being disposed of together as they arise out of one common
FIR.



CRM-M-29375-2025,
CRM-M-29144-2025
CRM-M-53269-2025

2

2. Present petitions have been filed under Section 483 of BNSS, seeking regular bail in connection with FIR No. 309 dated 22.10.2023, under Sections 120-B, 148, 149 and 302 IPC, registered at Police Station Siwani, District Bhiwani.

3. Learned State counsel submits that out of a total of 57 prosecution witnesses, 38 have already been examined.

4. In view of the above, this Court proposed to issue a direction to the trial Court to expedite the trial. However, learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner(s) contend that no such direction to speed up the trial be issued, therefore, pray for withdrawal of their respective petitions.

Accordingly, petitions are dismissed as withdrawn, as prayed for.

5. A photocopy of this order be placed on the files of other connected case.

(SANJAY VASHISTH)
JUDGE

18.02.2026

Rashmi

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No
Whether Reportable: Yes/No