

-1-



Date of decision: 21.04.2026

AJAY KUMAR AND ANOTHER **...Petitioners**

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS ...Respondents

BHAN SINGH THAPPA AND ANOTHER ...Petitioners

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS ...Respondents

YAMIN @ AMIN AND ANOTHER **...Petitioners**

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS ...Respondents

GUDDI AND ANOTHER **...Petitioners**

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS ...Respondents

Present: Dr. Suresh Kumar Redhu, Advocate
for petitioners.

Mr. Sanjeev Kaushik, Addl. A.G. Haryana.




ASHWANI KUMAR MISHRA, J. (Oral)

1. These four writ petitions are filed by the different persons employed in the Police Department in the State of Haryana, seeking regularization of their services. As per the writ petitioners, they were engaged on different posts and have been working for the last nearly three decades. Their grievance is that despite their satisfactory working for such a long period, their services have not been regularized.

2. In the LPA-876-2018 titled as '*State of Haryana and others vs. Subhash and others*', with which these petitions were connected, a final order has been passed by us today. We have refused to interfere in the State's appeal, in view of the fair stand taken by the State of Haryana that the claim of respondents-petitioners for regularization would be accorded consideration in accordance with law. Mr. Sanjeev Kaushik, learned Additional Advocate General, Haryana also states that in view of the recent judgment of the Hon'ble Supreme Court in Civil Appeal No.1996 of 2024, '*Madan Singh and others vs. State of Haryana and others*' decided on 16.04.2026, the State of Haryana shall take necessary steps to effect appropriate policy and to accord consideration to the claim of writ petitioners for regularization.

3. In that view of the matter, these four writ petitions are also disposed of with a direction upon the respondents-State to examine the claim of the writ petitioners for regularization in light of the law settled by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in Civil Appeal No.1996 of 2024, by framing appropriate policy, within a period of three months from the date of presentation of a copy of the order. In the event, the claim of writ petitioners



is otherwise covered by the existing policy, which has been approved by the Supreme Court in the aforesaid judgment, then their claim shall be dealt with accordingly.

4. Pending application(s), if any, stand(s) disposed of.

(ASHWANI KUMAR MISHRA)
JUDGE

(ROHIT KAPOOR)
JUDGE

21.04.2026

neeraj

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes	No
Whether Reportable :	Yes	No