



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

138

CWP-15477-2026

Date of decision : 18.05.2026

Pracheer Budhwar and another

....Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE NAMIT KUMAR

Present: Mr. Parvesh K. Saini, Advocate for the petitioners.

NAMIT KUMAR J. (Oral)

1. The present petition has been filed by the petitioners under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India, seeking issuance of a writ of mandamus directing the respondents to grant the statutory pay scale to the petitioners as mandated in the Punjab Animal Husbandry (Group-A) Service Rules, 2014 (Annexure P-2) i.e. Rs.15600-39100 + 5400 Grade Pay with further pay revisions from the date of their direct appointment along with arrears and interest @ 12% per annum thereon, keeping in view the law laid down by this Court in ***CWP-15896-2023 titled as 'Saurabh Sharma and others Vs. State of Punjab and another' decided on 13.09.2024*** (Annexure P-11) which was upheld upto the Hon'ble Supreme Court. Further, to revise/increase the salaries of the petitioners as per the letter dated 05.01.2026 (Annexure P-20), whereby the salaries of the counterparts of the petitioners have been increased as clear from Annexure P-21 and to take into account the abovesaid statutory pay scale with further pay revisions. Further, seeking issuance of a writ of certiorari for quashing the instructions dated 17.07.2020 (Annexure P-5) and appointment letters issued to the petitioners to the extent that it places the petitioners in the wrong pay scale of Rs.47,600/-.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that for redressal of their grievances, the petitioners have also submitted demand notice/representation dated 07.04.2026 (Annexure P-10) to the



respondents which is still pending consideration. He further submits that at this stage, the petitioners would be satisfied, if appropriate directions are issued to the respondents to consider and decide the said demand notice/representation, by passing a speaking order, in a time bound manner.

3. Notice of motion.
4. Mr. Swapan Shorey, D.A.G., Punjab accepts notice on behalf of the respondents-State. He has no objection to the innocuous prayer made by learned counsel for the petitioners.
5. I have heard learned counsel for the parties and have gone through the record of the case.
6. Without going into the merits of the case at this stage, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.5 to consider and decide demand notice/representation dated 07.04.2026 (Annexure P-10) submitted by the petitioners expeditiously, by passing a speaking order after affording an opportunity of hearing to the petitioners, preferably within a period of 04 months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order. Further, the decision taken thereon shall be conveyed to the petitioners.

18.05.2026
kothiyal

(NAMIT KUMAR)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether reportable:	Yes/No