

136

CRWP-5757-2026

-1-



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRWP-5757-2026

Date of decision: 15.05.2026

MAMTA AND ANR.

....Petitioners

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA AND ORS.

....Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE RUPINDERJIT CHAHAL

Present:- Mr. Salinder Kumar Saini, Advocate for the petitioners.

.....

RUPINDERJIT CHAHAL, J. (ORAL)

1. The petitioners have filed the instant petition with a prayer to direct the respondents No.2 to 3 to protect the life and liberty of the petitioners and also directing the respondents No.4 to 7 not to interfere in their lives and liberty. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the petitioners have already submitted a representation dated 14.05.2026 (Annexure P-5) to the Superintendent of Police, District Kaithal and they shall be satisfied if directions are issued to respondent No.2 to look into their representation dated 14.05.2026 (Annexure P-5).

2. Notice of motion to respondents No.1 to 3, at this stage.

3. On the asking of the Court, Mr. Mohit Chaudhary, AAG Haryana who is present in the Court accepts notice on behalf of respondents No.1 to 3.

4. I have heard learned counsel for the parties. In view of the

prayer made by learned counsel for the petitioners and without expressing



anything on the merits and claim of the parties, respondent No.2–
Superintendent of Police, District Kaithal is directed to look into the
representation dated 14.05.2026 (Annexure P-5) qua threat perception only
and after seeking a report, he may pass an appropriate order, in accordance
with law. This order shall not be construed as an expression of opinion on
the validity of the marriage of the parties. The parties mentioned in the
petition or any other person mentioned in the petition shall be at liberty to
take recourse to appropriate law, if any.

5. With these observations, this petition is disposed of.

15.05.2026
puneet

(RUPINDERJIT CHAHAL)
JUDGE

i)	Whether speaking/reasoned?	Yes/No
ii)	Whether reportable?	Yes/No