



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP-18874-2016 (O&M)

Date of decision: 22.04.2026

Malkiat Singh and others

....Petitioners

Versus

Kulwinder Singh and others

....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDEEP TIWARI

Present: Mr. Karan Singla, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Baldev Singh Sodhi, Advocate,
for respondent No.1
(Through Video Conferencing).

KULDEEP TIWARI, J. (Oral)

1. The petitioners, by way of instant writ petition, as cast under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India, have approached this Court against the award dated 10.02.2016 (Annexure P-1), passed by the learned Industrial Tribunal, Ludhiana, vide which, respondent No.1-workman has been reinstated into service, with continuity of service and full back wages.

2. At the outset, learned counsel for respondent No.1, submits that impugned award has already been implemented, and therefore, nothing survives for adjudication.

3. Admittedly, the impugned award was passed in favour of workman-respondent No.1 as back as in the year 2016, which has since been given effect to. Further, the Management, against which reference was answered, did not opt to question the validity thereof.



4. In view of the abovesaid factual aspects of the matter, learned counsel for the petitioner is confronted, as to what is the *locus standi* of the petitioners to challenge the award, but he failed to satisfy this Court by giving even the remotest reason to entertain the instant writ petition.

5. In view of the above, the petition is **dismissed**.

(KULDEEP TIWARI)
JUDGE

22.04.2026
Ak Sharma

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No