



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

216

CRM-M-28223-2026 (O&M)

Date of decision: 22.05.2026

Manga Singh

...Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

State of Punjab

...Respondent(s)

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VINOD S. BHARDWAJ

Present :- Mr. Ajay Pal Singh Rehan, Advocate for the petitioner(s).

Mr. Mohit Kapoor, Sr. DAG Punjab.

VINOD S. BHARDWAJ, J. (Oral)

1. This second petition has been filed for grant of regular bail to the petitioner(s) in case bearing FIR No.29 dated 27.08.2025, registered under Section(s) 61(2), 316(2), 318(4), 336(3), 338, 340(2), 351(2) of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023 and Section 66(c) of the Information Technology Act, 2000 at Cyber Crime Police Station, District Patiala.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in the present case and that the investigation in the present case stands completed and final report has also been filed before the trial Court. It is further contended that offences in question are triable by the Court of a Magistrate. He contends that similarly placed co-accused/Arshdeep Singh has already been granted the concession of regular bail by this Court vide order dated 07.05.2026, passed in CRM-M-12728-2026 and seeks parity with him.

3. Learned counsel for respondent-State does not dispute that the case of the petitioner is at par with co-accused/Arshdeep Singh and has already been granted the concession of regular bail by this Court vide order dated 07.05.2026, passed in CRM-M-12728-2026.

4. In view of the above, without commenting on the merits of the case and taking into consideration the claim of undisputed parity with co-accused/Arshdeep Singh, having already been granted the concession of regular bail by this Court, I deem it fit to allow the instant petition.

5. Accordingly, the instant petition is allowed and the petitioner is ordered to be admitted to regular bail subject to his furnishing bail/surety bonds to the satisfaction of the trial Court/Duty Magistrate/Illaq Magistrate concerned.

6. It is made clear that the petitioner shall not extend any threat and shall not influence any prosecution witness in any manner directly or indirectly.

7. The observation made hereinabove shall not be construed as an expression on the merits of the case and the trial Court shall decide the case on the basis of available material.

(VINOD S. BHARDWAJ)
JUDGE

22.05.2026

Mangal Singh

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No