



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

210

CRM-M-28021-2026
Decided on : 22.05.2026

NAVAL

.....PETITIONER

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA

.....RESPONDENT

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY VASHISTH

Present: Mr. Ajay Kripal Singh, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Amish Sharma, AAG, Haryana.

SANJAY VASHISTH, J.

1. The instant petition has been filed under Section 483 of BNSS, 2023 (earlier Section 439 Cr.P.C.), seeking grant of regular bail in case FIR No. 29 dated 08.02.2026, under Sections 115, 190, 191(2), 191(3), 324(5), 326(g), 333, 351(2) of the BNS, 2023 (later Section 61(2) BNS was added), registered at Police Station Dadri City, District Charkhi Dadri, Haryana.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that as per the enmity if any with complainant was of the petitioner's father and name of the petitioner is not given in the FIR. Had petitioner being involved in the incident, name of the petitioner would have mentioned there. He further submits that as per the allegations 06 pairs of new tyres placed in the shop and 150 old tyres which were placed in the closed plot adjacent to the shop were put on fire. He further contends that although a compromise has already been effected with the complainant and he has been duly compensated still, petitioner is ready to settle the dispute amicable.



Petitioner is inside in jail since 16.02.2026 and he is not no more required inside jail for custodial purpose. Even challan already stands submitted. However, process of recording statements of the witnesses is yet to start. Petitioner is a young boy of 19 years of age, having no criminal antecedents. Petitioner's father already arrested and presently he is inside jail. Any longer incarceration of the young boy inside without any involvement in any other case, may prove adverse to the future career of the petitioner.

3. On advance notice and in response to the arguments addressed by learned counsel for the petitioner, learned State counsel, produces the custody certificate dated 20.05.2026 in Court today, which is taken on record. Office to tag the same at appropriate place. A copy thereof has been handed over to the counsel for the petitioner.

As per the custody certificate, in the present case, petitioner has already undergone 03 months and 04 days period inside jail and

4. Learned State counsel while opposing the prayer and submissions made by learned counsel for the petitioner, but confirmed the fact of no criminal record of the petitioner.

5. After hearing learned counsel for the parties and perusing the relevant material on record with their able assistance, this Court finds substance in the submissions made by learned counsel for the petitioner by observing that

6. In view of totality of circumstances, and the facts/allegations levelled against the petitioner, and the factors noticed hereinabove, I deem it appropriate to grant the concession of bail to the petitioner.

7. Consequently, prayer made in the present petition is **allowed**. Petitioner is ordered to be released on bail, subject to his furnishing

bail/surety bonds to the satisfaction of the learned trial Court/ Chief Judicial



Magistrate/ Illaqa Magistrate/ Duty Magistrate concerned, if not required in any other case.

8. Needless to observe that the petitioner shall not extend any threat and shall not influence any prosecution witness in any manner directly or indirectly.

9. Any of the discussion done and recorded here above, shall not be construed as an expression of opinion on the facts of the case. Therefore, trial Court is expected to decide the case by taking an independent view, on the basis of evidence available on record, as expeditiously as possible, in accordance with law.

10. It is further made clear that if, in future, petitioner is directly found indulged in similar kind of activities, this order shall be deemed to be cancelled.

11. Petition stands disposed of.

(SANJAY VASHISTH)
JUDGE

22.05.2026

pry

Whether Speaking/Reasoned: **YES/NO**
Whether Reportable: **YES/NO**