

**108 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

2026.PHHC:078169



**CRM-M-28050-2026 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 18.05.2026**

**VIKRAM @ VIKRAM KUMAR @ BUNTY ... PETITIONER
VERSUS
STATE OF PUNJAB ... RESPONDENT**

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE H.S.GREWAL

Present:- Mr. L.M.Gulati, Advocate for the petitioner.

H.S. GREWAL, J. (ORAL)

1. The present petition has been filed under Section 482 of the BNSS/438 Cr.P.C. seeking grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in case FIR No.0059 dated 03.04.2026 registered under Sections 304(2) and 3(5) of the BNS (34 IPC) at Police Station Civil Lines Amritsar, District Amritsar.
2. The case of the prosecution is that complainant Kiran Chadha got recorded her statement alleging therein that on 02.04.2026 at about 2:45 PM, she along with her sister-in-law was standing near Ram Dham Temple, Amritsar. In the meantime, two young boys came from behind on a motorcycle and snatched the black coloured purse being carried by the complainant and fled away from the spot. The purse allegedly contained cash amounting to Rs.8,000/- and Aadhar Card. On the basis of the said statement, the present FIR was registered against unknown persons.
3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in the present case. It is contended that the petitioner was not named in the FIR and his nomination during investigation

is based merely upon secret information. Learned counsel further submits that the petitioner is ready and willing to join the investigation.

4. Notice of motion.

5. Mr. Parneet Singh Pandher, A.A.G., Punjab accepts notice on behalf of the State and has opposed the prayer for grant of bail to the petitioner on the ground of gravity of allegations. He further submits that petitioner is involved in 14 more cases.

6. I have heard the learned counsel for the parties and perused the record.

7. The petitioner has been specifically nominated during investigation and the investigation is stated to be at a crucial stage. The prosecution has specifically asserted that recovery of the snatched amount is yet to be effected. Keeping in view the entire facts and circumstances of the case, the custodial interrogation of the petitioner is necessary for thorough and effective investigation of the case. Therefore, considering the seriousness and gravity of the allegations, no exceptional or extraordinary grounds are made out for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

8. Accordingly, the present petition is dismissed.

9. Pending miscellaneous application(s), if any, shall also stand disposed of.

18.05.2026

Janki

(H.S.GREWAL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No