



147

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP-15525-2026
DECIDED ON: 18.05.2026

SAJID KHAN HUSSAIN

.....PETITIONER(S)

VERSUS

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS

.....RESPONDENT(S)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANDEEP MOUDGIL

Present: Advocate Intizar UL Hasan
for the petitioner(s) (Through hybrid Mode)

SANDEEP MOUDGIL, J (ORAL)

1. The instant petition has been filed under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India seeking direction to the respondents to adjust the petitioners against the vacant posts of Junior Engineer (Civil) either in the same department/respondent No.2 or in other departments/Boards/Corporations against vacant posts i.e. the same equitable relief as granted vide judgments and orders dated 24.12.2025, 30.01.2026, 17.02.2026 and 19.03.2026 (P-23 to P-26 respectively) to the persons similarly situated with the petitioners especially in view of the fact that a very large number of Group 'C' Contractual employees, employed through HKRNL/respondent No.06 were allowed retention as per letter dated 20.05.2025 (P-19) issued by respondent No.1 in the Finance Department against the vacant posts, which letter, P-19 stand relied upon by this court in CWP-9827-2025 tilted *Sandeep Kumar & Ors. Vs. State of Haryana* vide interim order dated 08.07.2025 (P-22).

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner prays that present petition be disposed of in terms of judgment passed by this Court in CWP-7437-2025 titled as '***Renu and others vs. State of Haryana and others***', decided on 24.12.2025 (Annexure P-27) wherein similar issue has been adjudicated.

3. Notice of motion.

4. Ms. Mayuri Lakhanpal Kalia, DAG Haryana, having been served with an advance copy of petition, accepts notice on behalf of the respondent-State and undertakes that the impugned relieving order by which the services of the petitioner was dispensed with shall be withdrawn and he will be allowed to continue till a fresh decision is taken. It has further been stated that the State shall undertake a fresh consideration of the matter by examining the case of the petitioner independently and shall pass appropriate orders in accordance with law.

5. In the light of the assurance tendered by the learned State counsel, and the concurrence of learned counsel for the petitioner, this Court is persuaded that the present writ petition no longer requires adjudication on merits. The impugned relieving order is hereby set aside, and till a fresh decision is taken, the services of the petitioner shall not be dispensed with, and if the petitioner has not been permitted to rejoin duties, he shall be taken back forthwith so that his humble means of livelihood are not imperiled and the sustenance of his families are preserved.

6. In case the petitioner has not submitted any representation so far to the State Government or the concerned Head of the Department, the present writ petition shall be treated as representation, and the case shall be decided afresh after taking into account the pleas raised therein, in accordance with law.

7. The respondents shall, with due regard to the dignity of the petitioner and the governing statutory framework, reconsider the case, and pass reasoned orders strictly in accordance with law, in both its letter and spirit, within a period of four weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of this order.
8. Until such fresh orders are passed, the continuity of service of the petitioner shall remain undisturbed.
9. In view of above, the instant writ petition stands disposed of.
10. Pending miscellaneous applications, if any, also stand disposed of.

18.05.2026

Meenu

(SANDEEP MOUDGIL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No