



CRM-M-29233-2025

-1-

212 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-29233-2025 (O&M)

Date of decision: 12.01.2026

RANJIT SINGH

...PETITIONER

VERSUS

STATE OF HARYANA

...RESPONDENT

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH BHARDWAJ

Present: Mr. A.P.S.Deol, Sr. Advocate with
Mr. Arun Kumar Goyal, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Tanuj Sharma, AAG, Haryana.

Mr. Anurag Arora, Advocate;
Mr. Dushyant Rana, Advocate;
Mr. A.S. Sidhu, Advocate and
Mr. Farhad Kohli, Advocate for the complainant.

RAJESH BHARDWAJ, J. (ORAL)

1. Petitioner has approached by way of filing the present petition praying for grant of regular bail in case bearing FIR No.548 dated 11.08.2024 under Sections 109(1), 190, 191(2), 191(3), 351(2), 61, 191(1), 132, 221,121(1) and 249-B of BNS, 2023 and Sections 25, 27 and 30 of Arms Act, registered at Police Station Rania, District Sirsa.

2. Learned Senior counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner was enlarged on interim bail on account of medical condition vide order dated 28.05.2025. He further submits that petitioner is on medication, however, presently, his surgery has not yet been carried out. He further submits that petitioner was granted interim bail after 09 months of custody. He further submits that as per the allegation, the weapon owned by the petitioner had been used in the occurrence, however, the same has been used by the co-accused,

**CRM-M-29233-2025****-2-**

anticipatory bail of the co-accused, who had used the weapon owned by the petitioner has been declined by this Court. He further submits that the petitioner neither has any criminal antecedents nor he has misused the concession of interim bail granted by this Court. He thus, submits that in the facts and circumstances of the case, the petitioner deserves to be granted bail.

3. Learned counsel for the complainant has opposed the bail application on the ground that the petitioner had played an active role in the offence committed. It is the weapon of the petitioner which has been used by the co-accused. He further submits that the arms of the injured-Dhyan Singh were amputated.

4. Learned State counsel on instructions, submits that the petitioner was granted interim bail on account of medical condition but he himself refused for undergoing the surgery. He has placed on record the medical opinion of the doctor. He has produced custody certificate of the petitioner today in the Court and the same are taken on record. He further submits that there is nothing on the record to show that the petitioner has misused the concession of interim bail granted to him as there is no complaint *qua* the same.

5. After hearing counsel for the parties and perusing the record, it is deciphered that petitioner was part of the unlawful assembly and the gun belonging to the petitioner had been used by the co-accused. Custody certificate produced would show that the petitioner has no criminal antecedents. The medical opinion given by the doctor would read as the petitioner is on medication and would ultimately require the surgery. There is nothing on record to show that the petitioner has misused the concession of interim bail which was earlier granted by this Court vide order dated 28.05.2025

**CRM-M-29233-2025****-3-**

interim bail granted to the petitioner vide order dated 28.05.2025 is made absolute to his already furnished surety/bail bonds before the trial Court and the same shall be treated as alive till the final disposal of the trial. The petitioner is directed to keep on appearing before the trial Court as and when required to do so and also to comply with the direction of the trial Court given by it during the pendency of the trial. Nothing said herein shall be treated as an expression of opinion on the merits of the case.

12.01.2026
renubala

(RAJESH BHARDWAJ)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No
Whether reportable: Yes/No