

**CRWP-5719-2026****149 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH****CRWP-5719-2026
Date of Decision: 14.05.2026****SHIWANI CHOUDHARY AND ANRPETITIONERS****VERSUS****STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERSRESPONDENTS****CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE H.S.GREWAL**

Present: Ms. Bindu Bala, Advocate for
Mr. Ketan Chopra, Advocate for the petitioners.

***********H.S. GREWAL, J. (ORAL)**

1. This criminal writ petition has been filed under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India with prayer for issuance of an appropriate writ order or direction especially in the nature of mandamus directing official respondents No. 1 to 3 for protection of life and liberty of the petitioners and not to interfere in the married life of the petitioners at the behest of respondents No. 4 to 7.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that both the petitioners being major, got married against the wishes of private respondents. Ever since then, the petitioners are being threatened with dire consequences by the private respondents. Resultantly, a representation dated 12.05.2026 (Annexure P-7) was also made to respondent No.2- Senior Superintendent of Police, Hoshiarpur wherein a mention has been made about the alleged threat perception. Learned counsel submits that despite the official respondents having been communicated about the alleged threat perception, no steps have



CRWP-5719-2026

been taken to come to the rescue of the petitioners. Learned counsel submits that he would be satisfied if directions are issued to respondent No.2- Senior Superintendent of Police, Hoshiarpur to look into their representation dated 12.05.2026 (Annexure P-7) and take appropriate steps at the earliest.

3. Notice of motion to respondents No. 1 to 3 only.
4. At the asking of the Court, Mr. P.S.Pandher, Assistant AG Punjab accepts notice on behalf of respondents No. 1 to 3.
5. Keeping in view the mandate of Supreme Court in the case of **“Lata Singh V. State of U.P. and another”, JT 2006 (6) SC 173**, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2- Senior Superintendent of Police, Hoshiarpur to look into the matter and take appropriate action in accordance with law to ensure that no harm is caused to the life and liberty of the petitioners at the hands of private respondents.
6. It is clarified that this order shall not be treated as a stamp of this Court about the marriage of the parties and no opinion with regard to the validity of the marriage has been expressed in this order.

14.05.2026
remu

(H.S.GREWAL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/ reasoned :	Yes/No
Whether Reportable :	Yes/No