



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-27799-2026 (O&M)
Decided on: 15.05.2026**

GURDEEP SINGH

.....Petitioner

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUBHAS MEHLA

Present: Mr. Manpreet Singh Sidhu, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Anup Singh, AAG, Punjab.

SUBHAS MEHLA, J. (Oral)

1. The present petition has been filed under Section 482 Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 (for short - 'the BNSS') seeking anticipatory bail to the petitioner in case bearing FIR No.41 dated 24.04.2026 under Sections 331(6), 115(2), 118(1), 190 and 191(3) the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023 (for short - 'the BNS') registered at Police Station Julkan, District Patiala.

2. Allegations levelled as per present FIR are that the petitioner along with other co-accused armed with bricks and sticks caused injuries upon the complainant party.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner contended that the petitioner has been falsely involved in this case, as it is a dispute between neighbors and the version made on behalf of the complainant has been exaggerated in the FIR, as the injuries caused to the complainant-party



are simple in nature; no specific role is attributed to the petitioner, as no injury has been caused by the petitioner to anyone; the petitioner is having clean and clear antecedents and is not involved in any criminal activities; the petitioner is ready to join investigation as and when required and to cooperate with the same and, as such, prayed for grant of concession of anticipatory bail.

4. Notice of motion.

5. In pursuance of advance notice, Mr. Anup Singh, AAG, Punjab put in appearance and accepted notice on behalf of the respondent-State and opposed the contentions raised by learned counsel for the petitioner while submitting that the petitioner along with other co-accused attacked the complainant party and caused injuries to them by forming an unlawful assembly and as such prayed for dismissal of grant of discretionary relief to the petitioner.

6. Heard.

7. Taking into consideration the rival contentions of learned counsel for the parties and the fact that no specific role is attributed to the present petitioner; injuries caused to the complainant party are simple in nature; the petitioner is having clean and clear antecedents and is not involved in any other case except the present FIR; the dispute between the parties pertains to common wall being neighbors. Hence, taking into consideration the totality of facts and circumstances of the present case,



this Court finds merit in the present petition and the same is **disposed of** with a direction to the petitioner to join investigation as and when required by the investigating officer and will cooperate in the investigation. In the event of his arrest, the petitioner shall be released on bail on his furnishing bail/surety bonds to the satisfaction of investigating/arresting officer, subject to the conditions as envisaged under Section 482(2) of the BNSS and subsequently, the petitioner is directed to abide by the conditions as provided under Section 482(2) of the BNSS.

(SUBHAS MEHLA)
JUDGE

15.05.2026

Sonia Puri

Whether Speaking/Reasoned: YES/NO
Whether Reportable: YES/NO