



**In the High Court for the States of Punjab and Haryana
at Chandigarh**

127

CRM-M-29081-2026 (O&M)
Date of Decision:- 21.05.2026

Anna

...Petitioner

Versus

The State of Punjab and others

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUBHAS MEHLA

Present:- Mr. Karan Singla, Advocate for the petitioner.

SUBHAS MEHLA, J. (Oral)

1. Present petition has been filed under Section 528 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita (for short - 'the BNSS') seeking transfer of investigation to an independent agency and for proper investigation in impugned report dated 14.04.2026 (Annexure P-13).

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner contended that earlier the petitioner had approached this Court, vide petition bearing No.CRWP-2829-2026, and this Court, vide order dated 13.03.2026 (Annexure P-10), had directed respondent No.3 - Senior Superintendent of Police, Fazilka, to decide the representation in accordance with law. It is further submitted that such representation was acted upon in an arbitrary manner and report submitted by respondent No.3 in respect of such representation is biased one.

3. Notice of motion.

4. Mr. Anup Singh, AAG, Punjab, accepted notice on behalf of the respondent-State and submitted that the petitioner has given exaggerated applications in order to escape from inquiry and to put pressure upon the



CRM-M-29081-2026 (O&M) (2)

police. However, no truth has been found in the allegations made in the representation in question. It is further submitted that during investigation, the petitioner could not produce any evidence/witness from which it can be inferred that the Patiala Police has raided his house and destroyed belongings of complainant's side.

5. This Court has heard learned counsel for the parties and has perused the paper-book.

6. Keeping in view the rival contentions made by learned counsel for the parties and considering the fact that this Court had earlier directed respondent No.3 - Senior Superintendent of Police, Fazilka, vide order dated 13.03.2026 (Annexure P-10) passed in CRWP-2829-2026, to decide the representation of the petitioner in accordance with law and the same has been acted upon by the authorities; that during investigation, the petitioner could not produce any evidence/witness supporting his allegations made in the complaint, no further directions are required to be issued by this Court at this stage. A perusal of the impugned report dated 14.04.2026 (Annexure P-13) does not bring forth anything showing biased conduct of respondent No.3. Thus, the present petition is dismissed.

7. However, it is made clear that in case the petitioner still feels aggrieved, then he shall be at liberty to avail any other remedy available to him in accordance with law.

21.05.2026
Geeta

(SUBHAS MEHLA)
JUDGE

Whether speaking /reasoned	Yes / No
Whether Reportable	Yes / No