



135

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-29121-2025 (O&M)
DECIDED ON: 17.03.2026**

SIYASHARAN SAHU ALIAS SIBBU **.....PETITIONER**

VERSUS

STATE OF HARYANA **.....RESPONDENT**

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY VASHISTH.

Present: Ms. Akanksha Singh, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Pawan Kumar Jhanda, Sr. DAG, Haryana.

SANJAY VASHISTH, J (ORAL)

1. Present petition has been filed by the petitioner, seeking grant of regular bail, in case, FIR No.772, dated 22.12.2024, under Sections 103(1), 140(1), 190, 191(2) and 238(A) of BNS, registered at Police Station Sector 10, District Gurugram.
2. As per the case of prosecution, information regarding a dead body lying in a water-filled in the Green Belt near Dwarka Highway, close to Village Harsaru, was received by ASI Girraj on 22.12.2024 at about 8:50 A.M.
3. During the course of investigation, the police obtained CCTV footage from a grocery shop situated in Village Bhagrola for the night of 21.12.2024 (between 11:02 P.M.-11:15 P.M.), which captured an altercation between the deceased and 4-5 individuals. Subsequently, upon their arrest, the accused allegedly confessed of assaulting Ashish with bricks and strangulating him with a muffler on the night of 21.12.2024 in Village Bhagrola. They further disclosed that they disposed of the body in the Green Belt near Dwarka Highway.

4. Further, during the course of investigation, blood-stained bricks, a broken brick, and a blood swab were recovered, from the auto, bearing registration No.HR-55AU-2136, which was allegedly used in the commission of the offence. The sealed samples have been forwarded to FSL Madhuban and RFSL Bhondsi for analysis.

5. Call detail records (CDR), ID CAF, and certificates under Section 63 of the Bharatiya Sakshya Adhiniyam (BSA) in respect of the mobile numbers of the accused, have also been obtained by the police.

6. Admittedly, there is no eye-witness account in the present case. However, investigating agency has collected CCTV footage and call detail records pertaining to the accused. As per the investigation, petitioner is stated to be the driver of the auto allegedly used in the commission of the crime.

7. After hearing the submissions made by counsel for the respective parties, examining the record, and considering the relevant circumstances, this Court is of the view that the prosecution is likely to establish the involvement of the petitioner, by proving his identity from the CCTV footage.

8. Therefore, plea for grant of bail to the petitioner does not merit acceptance, at this stage. **Accordingly, present petition is dismissed.**

However, learned Public Prosecutor as well as trial Court are directed to lead its entire evidence, particularly with regard to the establishing of identity of the accused from the CCTV footage and other material, within a period of three months from today. Thereafter, plea of bail of the petitioner can be considered afresh, in accordance with law.

(SANJAY VASHISTH)
JUDGE

17.03.2026
Lavisha

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether reportable</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>