

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

2026:PHHC:076327



147

**CRWP-5693-2026 (O&M)
Date of decision:14.05.2026**

Anjali & another

... Petitioners

Vs.

State of Haryana & others

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MANISHA BATRA

Present: Mr. Sourabh, Advocate for
Sunil Kumar Rana, Advocate for the petitioners.

...

Manisha Batra, J. (Oral).

1. The present petition has been filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India seeking issuance of a writ of mandamus directing the official respondents No.2 and 3 to protect the life and liberty of the petitioners at the hands of respondent Nos. 4 to 12 and take action on their representation dated 11.05.2026 (Annexure P-3).

2. It is submitted by the learned counsel for the petitioners that they are major. They are in love. Their private respondents are against their relationship and threats have even been extended to them by the private respondents to kill them. Petitioner No.1 has left her house and has started living with the petitioner No.2. Apprehending danger to their lives and liberty, they sent representation dated 11.05.2026 to the respondent No.2 but the same has not been taken into consideration and hence, the present petition.

3. Notice of motion.

4. Ms. Himani Arora, learned D.A.G., Haryana, accepts notice on behalf of respondents No.1 to 3, at this stage. The petitioners' counsel is directed to supply the copy of the petition to her.

5. I have heard learned counsel for the petitioners as well as learned State counsel and without going into the question of legality of their relationship as well as without entering upon an exercise to evaluate the veracity of the averments made in the petition and also with regard to the sanctity of relationship or age of the petitioners, this petition is disposed of with a direction to the respondent No.2-The Superintendent of Police, Kaithal to look into the matter and dispose of the representation dated 11.05.2026 (Annexure P-3) in accordance with law and also to take necessary step, if any, genuine threat to life and liberty of the petitioners is found.

6. It is made clear that this order shall not be taken to protect the petitioners from legal action for violation of law, if any, committed by them.

(MANISHA BATRA)
JUDGE

14.05.2026

harjeet

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes/No
Whether reportable :	Yes/No