



**In the High Court for the States of Punjab and Haryana
at Chandigarh**

161

CRM-M-27816-2026 (O&M)
Date of Decision:- 18.05.2026

Amandeep Singh @ Sonu

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUBHAS MEHLA

Present:- Mr. Gurmehar Singh Minhas, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Anup Singh, AAG, Punjab.

SUBHAS MEHLA, J. (Oral)

1. Present petition has been filed by the petitioner under Section 528 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 (for short – ‘the BNSS’), for quashing of impugned order dated 23.04.2026 (Annexure P-4) passed by learned Additional Sessions Judge, Jalandhar, in case bearing FIR No.127 dated 01.11.2022, registered under Section 379-B read with Section 34 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860, at Police Station Division No.3, Jalandhar, whereby bail of the petitioner has been cancelled and bail bonds and surety bonds were forfeited to the State.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that owing to an incorrect noting of the date, the petitioner was under the impression that the case was fixed for 03.05.2026 and, consequently, failed to appear before the learned trial Court on 23.04.2026, pursuant to which non-bailable warrants were issued against him. It is further submitted that the petitioner has been



regularly appearing before the learned trial Court for the past three years and has never misused the concession of bail granted to him. Learned counsel further submitted that even on the said date, the matter was not fixed for any effective proceedings and was listed only for securing the presence of the accused. The absence of the petitioner was neither intentional nor mala fide, but purely bona fide in nature. It is further submitted that the petitioner is ready and willing to join the proceedings before the learned trial Court and a prayer has been made that he may not be arrested by the police.

3. Notice of motion.

4. Mr. Anup Singh, AAG, Punjab, accepted notice on behalf of respondent-State and submitted that the petitioner deliberately failed to appear before the trial Court and his bail has been cancelled.

5. Heard.

6. Taking into consideration the facts and circumstances of the case and the fact that the petitioner has been regularly appearing before the learned trial Court for the past three years; he has never misused the concession of bail granted to him; even on the said date, the matter was listed only for securing the presence of the accused and that the petitioner is ready to surrender before the learned trial Court, the present petition is disposed of and the petitioner is directed to appear before the learned trial Court within a period of 2 weeks and to move an appropriate application by raising all the pleas taken in this petition before the trial Court regarding his non-appearance at the relevant time. The trial Court is directed to decide his bail application expeditiously in accordance with law. Till then, he will not be arrested by the



CRM-M-27816-2026 (O&M) (3)

police in this matter. It is made clear that if he fails to appear before the trial Court within stipulated time i.e. till 01.05.2026, then the relief granted by this Court shall be deemed to be withdrawn.

18.05.2026
Geeta

(SUBHAS MEHLA)
JUDGE

<i>Whether speaking /reasoned</i>	<i>Yes / No</i>
Whether Reportable	Yes / No