


**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

2026:PHHC:040209



(158)

CRWP-5389-2025 (O&M)

Decided on : 16.03.2026

Date of uploading: 16.03.2026

Harjinder Singh @ Harjinder Singh Sandhu and another

.....Petitioner(s)

Versus

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondent(s)

CORAM : HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE SUMEET GOEL

Present:- Mr. Prince Sharma, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Adhiraj Singh, AAG, Punjab,
for respondent Nos.1 to 3.

SUMEET GOEL, JUDGE (Oral)

1. The petition in hand has been preferred under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India with the following substantive prayer(s):-

“It is, therefore, most respectfully prayed that keeping in view the aforementioned facts and circumstances of the instant case, this Hon'ble Court may be pleaded to:

i) Issue a direction to the official respondents no. 2 and 3 for protecting the life and liberty of petitioners and not to interfere in the peaceful married life of the petitioners;

ii) With a further prayer for issuance of appropriate directions to the official respondents to ensure the security of life and liberty of the petitioners which is at the eminent danger at the hands of respondents no. 4 to 7 and other relatives;

iii) With a further prayer for the issuance of appropriate direction to the official respondents not to harass the petitioners and their family members at the instance of any complaint filed by the respondents no. 4 to 7.”

2. Learned counsel for the petitioners, after arguing for sometime, has limited his prayer by stating that he would be satisfied, for the *nonce*, if a direction is issued to respondent No.2 (Senior Superintendent of Police, Tarn

Taran, Rural) to consider and take a conscious decision on the representation dated 19.05.2025 made by the petitioners (copy whereof has been annexed as Annexure P-6 with the petition in hand) in a time-bound manner.

3. Learned State counsel has urged that he has no objection in case limited prayer made by the petitioners, for decision of their representation dated 19.05.2025 (Annexure P-6), is directed for.

3.1. Though, notice of motion was issued on 23.05.2025 and service is yet not complete, in the interest of justice, this Court deems it appropriate to dispose of the petition in hand, without calling upon the private respondents, at this stage.

4. Having heard learned counsel for the rival party(s) and upon perusal of the paper-book, this Court deems it appropriate to dispose of the petition in hand with the following directions:

(i) Respondent No. 2 (Senior Superintendent of Police, Tarn Taran, Rural) is mandated to look into the representation (Annexure P-6) moved by the petitioners, objectively consider the grievance(s) raised therein & take ratiocinated decision thereupon within a period of 15 days from today. Said decision be conveyed to the petitioners within 7 days thereafter

(ii) No order as to costs.

16.03.2026
Naveen

(SUMEET GOEL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether Reportable : Yes/No