



CRWP-5667-2026

- 1 -

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

131

**CRWP-5667-2026
Decided on : 15.05.2026**

Manpreet and another

... Petitioner(s)

Versus

State of Punjab and others

... Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY VASHISTH

PRESENT: Mr. Raghav Taneja, Advocate
for the petitioner(s).

Mr. Neeraj Madaan, Sr. DAG, Punjab.

SANJAY VASHISTH, J. (Oral)

1. By way of filing this petition, petitioners seek directions to respondents No.2 and 3 to protect their lives and liberty at the hands of private respondents No.4 & 5 and also restrain them from interfering into the relationship of the petitioners.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that petitioners are in a live-in relationship against the wishes of respondent No. 4 & 5, and have sought protection to their life and liberty. It is submitted that petitioner No. 1 – Manpreet, is aged about 19 years and petitioner No. 2 – Kamal, is aged about 22 years, and they apprehend danger at the hands of private respondents No.4 & 5, who will eliminate them if they still continue to live in live-in relationship against the wishes of private respondents No.4 & 5.

3. In the context of threat perception at the hands of private respondents No.4 & 5, petitioners have allegedly moved representation dated 12.05.2026 (Annexure P-3), to the Senior Superintendent of Police, District Sri Muktsar Sahib (respondent No.2), wherein, all the apprehensions *qua* their lives and liberty has been expressed.

4. Notice of motion.

5. On advance notice, Mr. Neeraj Madaan, Sr. DAG, Punjab, puts in appearance on behalf of respondents No.1 to 3 (State).



CRWP-5667-2026

- 2 -

6. Since, petitioners have not contracted any marriage and seek only protection *qua* their lives and personal liberty, and for the same already representation dated 12.05.2026 (Annexure P-3) is pending, it would be appropriate to direct respondent No. 2 to have a fair look to the said representation, on the grievance of the petitioners in order to ascertain veracity of allegations made by them.

7. Respondent No.2 would be at liberty to devise his/her own mechanism to ascertain the truth. He/she would also be at liberty to join the petitioners or any other person acquainted with facts in issue. If the innocence of the petitioners is established, then respondent No.2 shall proceed to take appropriate action in order to protect lives and personal liberty of the petitioners from being invaded by private respondents No.4 & 5.

8. The aforesaid order is being passed at this stage without meaning anything on the status of the parties on the basis of live-in relationship and age of petitioners. Respondent No.2 would consider and pass necessary order without being influenced by any statement of fact recorded herein-above.

9. Petition stands **disposed of** accordingly.

Pending misc. application(s), if any, also stand(s) disposed of.

(SANJAY VASHISTH)
JUDGE

May 15, 2026

J.Ram

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No
Whether Reportable: Yes/No