



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

116

RSA-2428-2006 (O&M)
Date of decision:- 05.02.2026

Jagan Nath ...Appellant
VS.
F.C.I and ors. ...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE SUDEEPTI SHARMA

Present:- None for the petitioner.

Mr. K.K. Gupta, Advocate
for the respondents.

SUDEEPTI SHARMA, J. (Oral)

None has put in appearance on behalf of the appellant on the last date of hearing i.e 21.01.2026 and Registry was directed to issue notice to the appellant and to his counsel. As per office report, learned counsel appearing for the appellant has been informed through mail. Notice issued to the appellant has been duly served.

- 2. Today the matter was called twice but there is no representation on behalf of the appellant. It seems that the appellant is no more interested in pursuing the present case. Therefore, this Court is left with no other option but to dismiss the present petition for want of prosecution.
- 3. Accordingly, the present appellant is dismissed for want of prosecution.
- 4. Pending application (s), if any, also stand disposed of.

05.02.2026
Gaurav Arora

(SUDEEPTI SHARMA)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned Yes
Whether reportable No