

**223 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**



**CRM-M-27778-2026 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 21.05.2026**

**KHUSHPREET SINGH @ KUSHPREET SINGH @ BANIYA
... PETITIONER
VERSUS
STATE OF PUNJAB ... RESPONDENT**

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE H.S.GREWAL

Present:- Mr. N.K.Brar, Advocate for the petitioner.

H.S. GREWAL, J. (ORAL)

1. The petitioner is seeking regular bail under Section 483 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita (BNSS) (439 Cr.P.C.) in FIR No.129 dated 13.05.2025 registered under Sections 22, 27, 29 of Narcotic Drugs and Psychotropic Substances Act registered at Police Station Anti Narcotics Force (ANTF), District ANTF Wing.

2. Briefly, the facts of the case are that a secret information was received that petitioner along with co-accused Shingara Singh are running a de-addiction centre and are involved in the sale of illicit contraband. The petitioner was also found in possession of 1825 intoxicating tablets.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is innocent and has been falsely implicated in the present case. He further submits that the petitioner is in custody for the last 01 year and 04 days. He, thus, prays for grant of bail to the petitioner.

4. Notice of motion.

5. Mr. Rohit Hans, D.A.G., Punjab accepts notice on behalf of the

State and has opposed the prayer for grant of regular bail to the petitioner on the ground of gravity of allegations and has filed the custody certificate in Court, which is taken on record. As per the custody certificate, the petitioner is in custody for the last 01 year and 04 days. He has further submitted that the petitioner is also involved in 02 other cases and one of them is under NDPS Act.

6. I have heard the learned counsel for the parties and perused the record.

7. The allegations against the petitioner are of serious nature. The quantity of contraband recovered from the petitioner falls under the category of commercial quantity. Consequently, the rigours of Section 37 of the NDPS Act are clearly attracted in the present case. The petitioner is also involved in two other cases, including one under the NDPS Act, which clearly indicates that he does not have clean antecedents.

8. Considering the seriousness and gravity of the allegations, the bar contained under Section 37 of the NDPS Act, as well as the criminal antecedents of the petitioner, this Court does not find any ground to grant the concession of regular bail to the petitioner. Accordingly, the present petition is dismissed.

(H.S.GREWAL)
JUDGE

21.05.2026

Janki

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No