



CRM-M-27510-2026

1

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

111

CRM-M-27510-2026
Decided on: 14.05.2026

Ct Major Singh alias Mejor Singh
Versus

.....Petitioner

State of Punjab

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY VASHISTH

Present: Mr. Barjinder Singh, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Neeraj Madaan, Sr. DAG, Punjab
(appears on advance notice)

SANJAY VASHISTH, J.(Oral)

1. Present petition has been filed under Section 482 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023, seeking grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in case FIR No.73 dated 27.04.2026, registered under Section 21(c) of the NDPS Act and Sections 25 and 27 of the Arms Act at Police Station Bhindi Saidan, Amritsar Rural, District Amritsar.

2. As per the case of the prosecution, while conducting checking of suspicious vehicles, on receipt of secret information, SHO Shashpal Singh along with Constable Major Singh (petitioner herein) reached the fields of Village Bhagupur Bet Kheta, where burnt material was allegedly recovered beneath an electricity pole. During the said proceedings, 2 Kg 175 grams of heroin, three live 9 mm cartridges and one pellet were also allegedly recovered. However, only a rapat entry regarding the burnt material was recorded and no legal action was initiated by SHO Shashpal Singh. It is further alleged that neither any

**CRM-M-27510-2026****2**

information regarding the aforesaid recovery was forwarded to the higher police officials nor was any mention made in the rapat register regarding recovery of heroin, cartridges and pellet, which were allegedly concealed. Subsequently, during investigation, cartridges, pellet and heroin were allegedly recovered from the official quarter of SHO Shashpal Singh.

3. Learned State counsel, on instructions, submits that the presence of both the police officials i.e. SHO Shashpal Singh and Constable Major Singh was found together at the relevant place and time and their custodial interrogation is required to unearth the entire chain of events and the manner in which the contraband and ammunition were concealed.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the substance allegedly recovered from the official quarter of SHO Shashpal Singh was not heroin in its original form but merely a burnt and melted narcotic substance. It is contended that the petitioner has been falsely implicated and deserves the concession of anticipatory bail.

5. I have heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the record.

6. Allegations against petitioner are grave and serious in nature. Petitioner, being a police official, was duty bound to disclose every material fact and ensure proper recording of all recoveries in the official record. Prima facie, the allegations reveal deliberate concealment of recovery of commercial quantity of narcotic substance along with live cartridges and pellet. Even otherwise, the explanation furnished on behalf of the petitioner that the recovered substance was burnt or melted

**CRM-M-27510-2026****3**

narcotic substance does not inspire confidence. Mere burning or melting of the contraband would not absolve the petitioner from explaining its possession or the omission to record the same in the rapat register.

7. This Court is also of the considered view that custodial interrogation of the petitioner is necessary for a fair and effective investigation, particularly in view of the serious allegations involving police officials themselves. It has been experienced in several cases that abuse of official position by police personnel leads to manipulation of recoveries and false implication of innocent persons for personal gain. Therefore, no extraordinary indulgence is warranted in favour of the petitioner.

In view of the nature and gravity of allegations and the requirement of custodial interrogation, this Court does not find any ground to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner. Consequently, present petition is dismissed.

8. However, the concerned Senior Superintendent of Police is directed to personally look into the matter and ensure that the investigation is concluded expeditiously in accordance with law.

(SANJAY VASHISTH)
JUDGE

May 14, 2026
rashmi

Whether Speaking/Reasoned: **YES/NO**
Whether Reportable: **YES/NO**