



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CM-6789-CWP-2026 in/and
CWP-18217-2015 (O&M)
DECIDED ON: 07.05.2026

UDAIVEER SINGH AND OTHERS

....PETITIONER(S)

VERSUS

STATE OF HARYANA AND ORS.

....RESPONDENT(S)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANDEEP MOUDGIL

Present: Mr. Kartikey, Chaudhary, Advocate for
Mr. Samrat Malik, Advocate for the applicant/petitioner(s).

Mr. Deepak Balyan, Addl. A.G., Haryana.

SANDEEP MOUDGIL, J (ORAL)

CM-6789-CWP-2026

This application under Section 151 of the Civil Procedure Code has been filed to direct the respondents to consider the claim of the petitioners for regularization in light of the policy decision dated 18.06.2014 (P-1) and in light of the decision of the Hon'ble Supreme Court rendered in '*Madan Singh Vs State of Haryana*' dated 16.04.2026 (Annexure P-8).

Notice in the application.

Mr. Deepak Balyan, Addl. A.G., Haryana having been served with an advance copy of application, accepts notice on behalf of respondent-State. He is

in consonance with the submissions made by learned counsel for the applicants/petitioners in the application.

In view of the above, the application is allowed and the main case is taken on Board for hearing today itself.

Main case

This Civil Writ Petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India has been filed for the issuance of a writ in the nature of Mandamus directing the respondents to regularize the services of the petitioners in terms of the policy decision dated 18.06.2014 (Annexure P-1), and further directing the respondents to release the salary which has not been paid to the petitioners since 01.01.2015, despite the fact that the petitioners have been continuously and diligently performing their duties and responsibilities.

It is an undisputed fact that the petitioners were appointed as Driver on contractual basis in accordance with law, after following the due process, pursuant to a public advertisement, and has been working since 01.11.2009. Their services came to be terminated in the month of September, 2015 after they sought regularization from the respondent-authorities pursuant to the policy decision dated 18.06.2014 floated by the State of Haryana for regularization of such contractual employees.

Be that as it may, irrespective of the fact that the services of the petitioners were terminated in September, 2015, they were admittedly in service as on 18.06.2014. As per the averments made in the petition, the petitioner fulfills the essential requirements for consideration of his case for regularization.

In view of the submissions made by learned Counsel for the petitioners as well as learned State Counsel in the above-mentioned application,

respondent No. 2 is directed to reconsider the case of the petitioners by taking into account the grounds raised in the petition and to examine their claim for regularisation afresh in the light of the policy decision dated 18.06.2014, as also in view of the judgment rendered by the Hon’ble Supreme Court in *Madan Singh v. State of Haryana* decided on 16.04.2026, and the judgment passed by the Hon’ble Apex Court in *State of Haryana v. Yogesh Tyagi, SLP (C) No. 31566 of 2018*.

With the aforesaid directions, the present petition stand disposed of.

Pending miscellaneous applications, if any, also stand disposed of.

07.05.2026
anuradha

(SANDEEP MOUDGIL)
JUDGE

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned</i>	<i>:Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether reportable</i>	<i>:Yes/No</i>