



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

128

CWP-15011-2026

Date of decision : 14.05.2026

Anamica and another

....Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE NAMIT KUMAR

Present: Mr. Sanjeev Kumar Arora, Advocate for the petitioners.

NAMIT KUMAR J. (Oral)

1. The present petition has been filed by the petitioners under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India, seeking issuance of a writ of mandamus directing the respondents to regularize the services of the petitioners under Punjab Government Policy dated 18.03.2011 (Annexure P-4) instead of Policy dated 16.05.2023 (Annexure P-7) as services of one similarly situated employee, namely Parshant Kumar, who was appointed as Data Entry Operator along with the petitioners on contract basis pursuant to the same advertisement, has been regularized under Policy dated 18.03.2011, vide order dated 02.03.2026 (Annexure P-12) in compliance of the order dated 08.12.2025 (Annexure P-11) passed by this Court in *CWP-23803-2023 titled as 'Parshant Kumar Vs. Baba Farid University of Health Sciences and others'* and grant the petitioners all consequential benefits.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that for redressal of their grievances, the petitioners have also submitted representation dated 27.03.2025 (Annexure P-8) before the respondents

which is still pending consideration. He further submits that at this

**CWP-15011-2026****-2-**

stage, the petitioners would be satisfied, if appropriate directions are issued to the respondents to consider and decide the said representation, by passing a speaking order, in a time bound manner.

3. Notice of motion.

4. Mr. Satnampreet Singh Chauhan, D.A.G., Punjab accepts notice on behalf of respondent No.1-State. Mr. Manish Dadwal, Advocate has put in appearance and accepts notice on behalf of respondents No.2 & 3-University. They have no objection to the innocuous prayer made by learned counsel for the petitioners.

5. I have heard learned counsel for the parties and have gone through the record of the case.

6. Without going into the merits of the case at this stage, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.3/competent authority to consider and decide representation dated 27.03.2025 (Annexure P-8) submitted by the petitioners expeditiously, by passing a speaking order after affording an opportunity of hearing to the petitioners, preferably within a period of 03 months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order. Further, the decision taken thereon shall be conveyed to the petitioners.

14.05.2026*kothiyal***(NAMIT KUMAR)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned:

Yes/No

Whether reportable:

Yes/No