



CWP-1879-2014

1

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

(201)

CWP-1879-2014

Date of Decision : March 25, 2026

College of Arts Sector 10, Chandigarh and another

.. Petitioners

Versus

Central Administrative Tribunal, Chandigarh Bench, Chandigarh
and another

.. Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS SURI**

Present: Mr. Vivek Sharma, Advocate, with
Mr. Karan Vir Singh, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. S.S. Pathania, Advocate, for respondent No.2.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI J. (ORAL)

1. Learned counsel for respondent No.2 submits that the issue raised in the present writ petition was also subject matter in *CWP No.2509 of 2017 titled as Dr. Sanjeev Kumar vs. Chandigarh Administrative through Secretary Education, U.T., Chandigarh, decided on 03.05.2018* and others wherein, after considering para 8.00, 8.1.0 and 8.2.0 of the UGC Guidelines, the benefit of past service has been given by recording the finding that the past service rendered could have been with any institution, which aspect is covered under para 8 of UGC Guidelines hence, the argument being raised by the Chandigarh Administration in the present petition, has already been decided against the Chandigarh Administration.

**CWP-1879-2014****2**

2. Learned counsel for the petitioners has not been able to rebut that the order dated 03.05.2018 passed by the Coordinate Bench of this Court in ***Dr. Sanjeev Kumar's case (supra)***, covers the issue in favour of respondent No.2.

3. Hence, the present petition is dismissed in terms of the order passed in ***Dr. Sanjeev Kumar's case (supra)***.

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

March 25, 2026
harsha

(VIKAS SURI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes
Whether reportable : No