

**CWP-15053-2026****-1-****IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH****122****CWP-15053-2026****Date of Decision: 18.05.2026****Anupama Hasija****...Petitioner****Versus****State of Haryana and others****...Respondents****CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JAGMOHAN BANSAL**

Present: - Mr. Ishnoor Singh, Advocate for the petitioner

Mr. Akshit Pathania, Assistant Advocate General, Haryana

JAGMOHAN BANSAL, J. (Oral)

1. The petitioner through instant petition under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India is seeking direction to respondents to register the sale deed pertaining to land measuring 8 Kanals 15 Marlas situated in the revenue estate of village Sultanpur, Tehsil and District Sonipat, comprised in Khewat No.487/1, Khatoni No.538/4, Mustil 45, Khasra No.14/1/1(2-19) and 6/1(5-16). He is further seeking direction to respondents to decide his legal notices dated 26.03.2026 (Annexure P-10) and 21.04.2026 (Annexure P-11) in a time bound manner.

2. The petitioner claims herself to be owner in possession of land measuring 8 Kanals 15 Marlas situated in village Sultanpur, Tehsil and District Sonipat. Mutation dated 28.10.2024 was sanctioned on 06.11.2024. She executed an agreement to sell in favour of M/s Frank Life Care (P) Ltd. for the total sale consideration of ₹1,48,00,000. On 03.01.2025, she deposited Stamp Duty and Registration Fee amounting to ₹11,48,500 and ₹50,003 respectively. The previous owner filed a Civil Suit before learned

**CWP-15053-2026****-2-**

Civil Judge (Junior Division), Sonipat which was dismissed as withdrawn vide order dated 29.11.2023. Petitioner time and again has sought appointment and even appeared before Sub-Registrar for registration of sale deed. She has served legal notice dated 26.03.2026 upon the official respondents followed by reminder dated 21.04.2026 but to no avail.

3. Learned State counsel expressed his inability to controvert aforesaid factual and legal position. He further submits that competent authority would look into the matter and address petitioner's grievance in accordance with law.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner agrees to the aforesaid arrangement.

5. In the wake of statement of both sides, the petition stands disposed of with a direction to Sub-Registrar-cum-Tehsildar, Sonipat to look into the matter and address petitioner's grievance, in accordance with law, within 2 weeks from today.

(JAGMOHAN BANSAL)
JUDGE

18.05.2026*Mohit Kumar*

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No