



CRM-19582-2026 in/and
CRR-1537-2023

106

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-19582-2026 in/and
CRR-1537-2023
Date of Decision: 08.05.2026

Narveer Singh and others

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and another

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANOOP CHITKARA

Present: Mr. G.S. Dhillon, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Akshay Kumar, A.A.G., Punjab.

Mr. Pushpinder Kaushal, Advocate
for respondent No.2.

ANOOP CHITKARA, J.

FIR No.	Dated	Police Station	Sections
86	23.06.2021	Mullanpur, District SAS Nagar (Mohali)	323, 341, 201, 34 IPC

Criminal before Court	Case trial	CIS No. SC/281/2021 CNR No. PBSA01-008610-2021 Decided on: 12.05.2023
-----------------------------	---------------	---

CRM-19582-2026

This is an application under Section 528 BNSS for preponing the date of hearing of the main revision petition.

For the reasons mentioned in the application, the same is allowed and the date of hearing of the main revision petition is preponed and the same is taken on Board today itself.

Main case

1. Seeking setting aside of impugned order dated 12.05.2023 passed by Additional Sessions Judge, SAS Nagar (Mohali) in the FIR captioned above, the petitioners have come up before this Court by filing the present revision petition under Section 401 CrPC.



CRM-19582-2026 in/and
CRR-1537-2023

2. Initially, the petitioners were arraigned as accused but later on absolved by the Investigator and prosecution was not launched against them and launched against other accused and there is a cross case and trial is still going. In between, respondent filed an application under Section 319 CrPC for summoning of the petitioners as additional accused. The trial Court allowed such application and summoned the petitioners.

3. Feeling aggrieved, the petitioners have come up before this Court challenging the order of summoning.

4. Counsel for respondent No.1 submits that the matter stands compromised between the parties and submits that they have no objection in case the present petition is allowed and the order vide which the petitioners were summoned be quashed and set aside.

5. Counsel for the petitioners submits that the petitioners are young boys and their entire career is at stake and it would have adverse impact on their career.

6. State counsel vehemently opposed such prayer and submits that the complainant take State for a ride because it was at the instance of the complainant that the application was initiated.

7. Be that as it may, the State cannot dispute the fact that initially the petitioners were absolved by the Investigator and even the complainant has settled the matter with the petitioners.

8. Given above, present petition is allowed. The impugned order dated 12.05.2023 passed by Additional Sessions Judge, SAS Nagar is quashed and set aside based on compromise entered between the parties. Present petitioners are acquitted of all charges. Bail bonds are discharged. All pending application(s), if any, stand closed.

(ANOOP CHITKARA)
JUDGE

08.05.2026
Jyoti Sharma

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes
Whether reportable: No.