



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

144

CWP-15013-2026

Date of Decision: 14.05.2026

STATE BANK OF INDIA

...Petitioner

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB AND ORS

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUVIR SEHGAL
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS SURI

Present: Mr. Chandeeep Singh, Advocate, for the petitioner.

SUVIR SEHGAL, J. (ORAL)

1. This writ petition has been filed *inter alia* for issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus directing respondent No. 2 to 5 to provide assistance in handing over the physical possession of the secured asset.
2. Counsel for the petitioner submits that order dated 07.05.2024 (Annexure P-3) has been passed by the Additional District Magistrate, Khanna under Section 14 of the Securitisation and Reconstruction of Financial Assets and Enforcement of Security Interest Act, 2002 (for short, 'SARFAESI Act'), directing delivery of possession of the secured asset. However, subsequently, Additional District Magistrate, Khanna recalled the said order vide order dated 28.06.2024 (Annexure P-4/T). It is further submitted that upon representation made by petitioner, the Additional District Magistrate, Khanna recalled the order Annexure P-4/T vide order dated 20.01.2026 (Annexure P-6/T) and again directed that possession of the secured asset be delivered to petitioner. It has been asserted that despite lapse of two years, physical possession of the secured asset has not been



delivered to petitioner.

3. Advance copy of the petition has been served upon the official respondents.
4. On asking, State counsel has put in appearance on behalf of respondents-State. He does not have any instructions.
5. Having heard counsel for parties and keeping in view the observations of Division Benches of this Court in Bank of Maharashtra vs. District Magistrate, Hisar and others, 2024 (2) PLR 346, as well as in IIFL Home Finance Limited vs. State of Haryana and others, Law Finder Doc ID #2875322, writ petition is disposed of with a direction to competent authority to comply with orders Annexure P-3 and Annexure P-6/T within a period of 60 days from the date of communication of the copy of this order, unless there is any legal impediment in doing so.

(SUVIR SEHGAL)
JUDGE

(VIKAS SURI)
JUDGE

May 14, 2026
harish

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No