



CRM-M-27448-2026

1

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

114

CRM-M-27448-2026

Decided on: 15.05.2026

Ajmer Singh

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY VASHISTH

Present: Mr. Arnav Ghai, Advocate for the petitioners.

SANJAY VASHISTH, J.(Oral)

1. Present petition has been filed under Section 528 of the BNSS seeking issuance of directions to the official respondents to decide the representations dated 25.04.2026 (Annexures P-5 and P-6) submitted by the petitioner and to take appropriate action against respondents No.4 to 9.

2. It is the case of the petitioner that dispute pertains to succession rights over the family properties between the members of two brothers, namely petitioner Ajmer Singh and respondents No.4 to 9. It is further contended that civil proceedings in respect of the said property had already been initiated and, as per petitioner, suit has been decreed by the Civil Court declaring the petitioner as the lawful owner. It is also submitted that execution proceedings with respect to the said decree are stated to be pending. However, alleging threats from the private respondents, petitioner has filed the present representations dated

**CRM-M-27448-2026****2**

25.04.2026 (Annexures P-5 and P-6), seeking a direction for taking a final decision thereon.

3. This Court is not persuaded by the submissions advanced on behalf of the petitioner. Prima facie, dispute appears to be purely of a civil nature arising out of inter se family property rights. Moreover, even if any element of alleged criminality is involved, petitioner has an efficacious alternative remedy available under the procedural law, i.e., BNSS, 2023, instead of invoking the extraordinary jurisdiction of this Court for directing the respondents to decide the representations.

Furthermore, issuance of such directions under Section 528 of the BNSS may prejudice the rights of either party and would not be appropriate in the facts and circumstances of the present case. Finding no merit in the present petition, the same is hereby dismissed.

However, it is made clear that it shall be open for the petitioner to avail such other remedy as may be available to him under law, if so advised.

(SANJAY VASHISTH)
JUDGE

May 15, 2026
rashmi

Whether Speaking/Reasoned: **YES/NO**
Whether Reportable: **YES/NO**