



143

**THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M No.27320 of 2026
Date of Decision:14.05.2026**

Manish Kumar**..... Petitioner**

Versus

State of Haryana**..... Respondent****CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH BHARDWAJ**

Present: Mr. Tejas Bansal, Advocate
for the petitioner.

RAJESH BHARDWAJ, J. (ORAL)

1. Present petition has been filed praying for quashing of order dated 23.12.2025 passed by learned Additional Sessions Judge, FTSC, Sirsa, in case bearing NDPS-341-2022 arising out of FIR No.315 dated 06.07.2022 under Sections 15, 61 & 85 of NDPS Act, registered at Police Station City Mandi Dabwali, District Sirsa, whereby the bail of the petitioner was cancelled and his bail bonds/surety bonds were ordered to be forfeited to State.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that the petitioner was prosecuted in the abovesaid FIR. He submits that the petitioner was regularly appearing before the learned trial Court, however, he had inadvertently noted the wrong date of hearing as 24.12.2025 instead of 23.12.2025 and thus, he could not appear before the trial Court. He has submitted that due to the absence of petitioner, the



learned trial Court vide order dated 23.12.2025 cancelled the bail; bail bonds/surety bonds were forfeited to State and issued the arrest warrants against the petitioner. He has submitted that absence of the petitioner was *bona fide* and not intentional and he never misused the concession of bail granted to him. He has further submitted that the petitioner is ready to appear before the learned trial Court and abide by the terms and conditions imposed upon him.

3. Notice of motion.

4. On the asking of the Court, Mr. Sumit Jain, Addl. A.G., Haryana appears and accepts notice on behalf of the respondents-State. He on the other hand has contended that learned trial Court rightly cancelled the bail of the petitioner and he was liable to be prosecuted in the said case, as he failed to appear in the Court despite orders.

5. I have heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the record.

6. It is apparent that the petitioner was prosecuted in the present case, whose bail was cancelled; bail/surety bonds were forfeited to State and arrest warrants were issued against him, due to his non-appearance on the ground that he inadvertently noted the wrong date of hearing. But now the petitioner is keen and ready to join the proceedings and face the trial. So keeping in view the abovesaid facts, the present petition is disposed of and order dated 23.12.2025 is *set aside* subject to payment of Rs.10,000/- as costs to be deposited in the '**Punjab and Haryana High Court Dispensary Welfare Fund**' by the petitioner in one week from the date of receipt of copy of this order. In case, petitioner



appears before the Court concerned within a period of 10 days from the date of receipt of copy of this order and files an application for bail alongwith receipt of abovesaid costs, the Court concerned is directed to admit him to bail subject to its satisfaction and proceed with the trial in accordance with law. He will have protection from arrest for a period of 10 days from the date of receipt of copy of this order.

7. Needless to say that in case the petitioner fails to comply with the abovesaid direction, he will have no benefit of abovesaid protection granted by this Court and order under challenge dated 23.12.2025, would automatically come in force.

14.05.2026
ps-I

(RAJESH BHARDWAJ)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No