



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

218

CRM-M-28255-2026
Decided on : 22.05.2026

INDERJEET SINGH

.....PETITIONER

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB

.....RESPONDENT

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY VASHISTH

Present: Dr. Naresh Kaushik, Advocate
for the petitioner.
Mr. Manjinder Singh Bhullar, DAG, Punjab.
Mr. K.B. Raheja, Advocate for the complainant.

SANJAY VASHISTH, J.

1. Present petition has been filed under Section 483 of the BNSS, seeking regular bail in case FIR No.54 dated 24.03.2025, under Sections 420, 120-B IPC, 318(4)/61 BNS and Section 24 of Emmigration Act, registered at Police Station Amargarh, District Malerkotla.

2. As per the allegations in FIR, petitioner along with his co-accused has cheated the complainant by receiving Rs.40,00,000/- from the complainant for sending him to USA. It is alleged that co-accused Rajinder Singh demanded Rs. 2,50,000/- for processing the file and thereafter an additional amount of Rs. 5,15,000/- for purchasing foreign currency. An amount of Rs. 28 lakhs was paid to co-accused and petitioner. After receiving the said amount, complainant was informed that the amount had already been paid to Sarabjit Singh and Karan Bath; however, they have not been arrayed as accused by the police in the present case. It is further alleged that the amount in question was paid in cash.



3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner is 37 years of age and is in custody since 09.02.2026, i.e., for the last three months. Learned counsel further submits that the offences are triable by the Court of Magistrate. Moreover, authenticity of the alleged cash payments can only be determined by the trial Court after the parties adduce complete evidence before it. Challan has already been filed before the Court; however, process of recording evidence is yet to commence. Accordingly, learned counsel prays for the grant of regular bail to the petitioner.

4. Mr. K.B Raheja, Advocate has put in appearance and filed his vakalatnama on behalf of the complainant in Court today, which is taken on record and submits that there is a video recording whereby, it is evident that amount was taken by the petitioner and his co-accused-Rajinder Singh while they were sitting in the house of complainant. Thus, prays for dismissal of bail.

4. Learned State counsel opposes the prayer for grant of regular bail and submits that the allegations against the petitioner are serious in nature, involving cheating and misappropriation of a substantial amount on the false pretext of sending the complainant abroad. It is contended that the petitioner, in connivance with the co-accused, induced the complainant to part with huge sums of money and played an active role in the commission of the offence. Accordingly, learned State counsel prays for dismissal of present petition.

5. I have heard learned counsel for the parties and have carefully perused the paper-book, along with the documents appended thereto.

6. Without commenting on the merits of the case and considering the fact that petitioner is 37 years of age and is in custody since 09.02.2026, moreover, offences alleged are triable by the Court of Magistrate, challan



has already been presented, and the trial is likely to take considerable time to conclude, this Court deems it appropriate to grant the concession of regular bail to the petitioner. Accordingly, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, present petition is allowed. Petitioner is ordered to be released on bail, subject to his furnishing bail/surety bonds to the satisfaction of the learned trial Court/ Chief Judicial Magistrate/Illaq Magistrate/ Duty Magistrate concerned, if not required in any other case.

7. Any of the discussion done and recorded hereabove, shall not be construed as an expression of opinion on the facts of the case. Therefore, trial Court is expected to decide the case by taking an independent view, on the basis of evidence available on record, as expeditiously as possible in accordance with law.

8. Petition stands disposed of.

(SANJAY VASHISTH)
JUDGE

22.05.2026

pry

Whether Speaking/Reasoned: **YES/NO**
Whether Reportable: **YES/NO**