



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

LPA-1381-2026

Date of decision : 13.05.2026

Kala Singh and others

...Appellants

Vs.

State of Haryana and others

...Respondent(s)

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK MANCHANDA**

Present: Mr. Sukhvir Singh Sahu, Advocate
for the appellants.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI, J.(Oral)

1. The challenge in the present appeal is to the order dated 07.05.2026 passed by the learned Single Judge whereby the prayer of the petitioner to direct respondent No.2-Financial Commissioner, Haryana to take up the restoration application bearing CM No.01 of 2025-26 filed in revision petition i.e., ROR No.148 of 2016-17, whereby prayer has been made for seeking restoration of the main revision petition, which was dismissed in default vide order dated 04.12.2024 (Annexure P-9), has been disposed of.

2. Learned counsel for the appellants argues that the consented order was passed for deciding the restoration application filed in the revision petition, which was dismissed for non-prosecution vide order dated 04.12.2024 and the application was fixed for 21.05.2026. Learned counsel submits that the State conceded that the said restoration application fixed before the Financial

Commissioner will be decided on the date fixed or within a period of two weeks thereafter, whereas now, without deciding the said application for restoration, the possession is being taken from the appellants. Hence, the appellants are not left with any other remedy.

3. Notice of motion.

4. Mr. Aman Mittal, DAG, Haryana accepts notice on behalf of the respondent-State and submits that he has already talked to the SDM, Ratia, who has assured that no precipitative action qua the implementation of warrant of possession will be taken till the application filed by the appellants seeking restoration by way of a revision petition is decided by the competent authority, which is now fixed for 21.05.2026 keeping in view the order dated 07.05.2026 passed by the learned Single Judge.

5. Learned counsel for the appellants submits that keeping in view the statement of the learned State counsel, no further grievance of the appellants survives and the present petition may kindly be disposed of as having been not pressed any further.

6. Ordered accordingly.

7. Pending civil miscellaneous application, if any, stands disposed of.

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

(DEEPAK MANCHANDA)
JUDGE

13.05.2026

vanita

Whether speaking/reasoned :
Whether Reportable :

Yes
No