



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

124

FAO-3723-2007 (O&M)

Date of decision:- 12.02.2026

Ranjit Kaur and ors.

...Appellants

vs.

Chhoti

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE SUDEEPTI SHARMA

Present:- None for the appellant.

Mr. R.D. Bawa, Advocate
for the respondent.

SUDEEPTI SHARMA, J. (Oral)

None has put in appearance on behalf of the parties on the last date of hearing i.e 28.01.2026 and Registry was directed to issue notice to the parties. As per office report, appellant Nos. 1 to 3 have not been served, as they are not residing at the given address. Notice issued to learned counsel for the appellant has been received back with the report that neither the counsel met in the bar room nor his name is available in the bar directory.

2. Today the matter was called twice but there is no representation on behalf of the appellants. It seems that the appellants are no more interested in pursuing the present case. Therefore, this Court is left with no other option but to dismiss the present petition for want of prosecution.

3. Accordingly, the present appeal is dismissed for want of prosecution.

4. Liberty is however granted to the appellants to revive the present appeal in case any cause of action survives.

5. Pending application (s), if any, also stand disposed of.

12.02.2026

Gaurav Arora

(SUDEEPTI SHARMA)

JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes

Whether reportable

No