



CRM-M-27221-2026

::1::

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH.**

(229)

CRM-M-27221-2026 (O & M)
Date of Decision:-19.05.2026

Sunil @ Sulee

.....Petitioner

Vs.

State of Haryana

.....Respondent.

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASJIT SINGH BEDI

Present:- Mr. Sushil Sheoran, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Ms. Geeta Rani, AAG, Haryana.

JASJIT SINGH BEDI, J.(ORAL)

The prayer in this petition under Section 483 of BNSS, 2023 (Section 439 Cr.P.C.) is for the grant of regular bail in case FIR No.18 dated 29.01.2026 under Section 21(b) (Section 29 added later on) of the NDPS Act, 1985 registered at Police Station Dadri Sadar, District Charkhi Dadri.

2. The brief facts of the case are that the petitioner-Sunil @ Sulee was apprehended with 99.75 grams of heroin. He disclosed the name of Mahabir @ Bhim Singh as the supplier who has since been arrested.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the petitioner had been falsely implicated in the present case. There is violation of mandatory provisions of the Act regarding search and seizure including Sections 42 and 50. The recovery is of non-commercial quantity of contraband. As the petitioner is in custody since 29.01.2026 but only 01 out of the 17 prosecution witnesses has been examined so far, the Trial of the present case is not likely to be concluded anytime soon and therefore, he is entitled to the concession of bail.

4. The learned counsel for the State, on the other hand, contends



CRM-M-27221-2026

::2::

that serious allegations have been levelled against the petitioner. Offences of this kind are on the rise and, therefore, he is not entitled to the concession of bail. She, however, concedes that the petitioner is in custody since 29.01.2026, only 01 of the 17 prosecution witnesses has been examined so far and that the recovery is of non-commercial quantity of contraband.

5. I have heard the learned counsel for the parties.
6. Admittedly, the recovery from the petitioner is of non-commercial quantity of contraband. Therefore, the bar contained under Section 37 of the NDPS Act would not be applicable to the case of the petitioner. Further, the petitioner is stated to be in custody since 29.01.2026 but only 01 of the 17 prosecution witnesses has been examined so far. Therefore the Trial of the present case is not likely to be concluded anytime soon. In this situation the further incarceration of the petitioner is not required.
7. Thus without commenting on the merits of the case, the present petition is allowed and the petitioner-Sunil @ Sulee is ordered to be released on bail subject to his furnishing bail bonds and surety bonds to the satisfaction of learned CJM/Duty Magistrate, concerned.
8. The petition stands disposed of.

May 19, 2026
sukhpreet

(JASJIT SINGH BEDI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No