



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

130

CWP-15405-2026

Date of decision : 18.05.2026

Sarabpreet Kaur and others

....Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE NAMIT KUMAR

Present: Mr. Rajesh Garg, Senior Advocate assisted by
Mr. Mandeep Singh, Ms. Neha Matharoo and
Mr. Sahil Matharoo, Advocates for the petitioners.

NAMIT KUMAR J. (Oral)

1. The present petition has been filed by the petitioners under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India, seeking issuance of a writ of mandamus directing the respondents to review/recall the posting orders dated 24.09.2024, 21.10.2024 & 24.11.2024 (Annexure P-3) of the petitioners and granting them opportunity to opt for new/nearby stations available as of today as given to all the prior and subsequent batches (seniors as well as juniors) and now, vide order dated 03.04.2026 (Annexure P-11), passed in pursuance to order dated 16.01.2026 (Annexure P-10) in ***CWP No.749 of 2026 titled as 'Mandip Sharma and others Vs. State of Punjab and others***, granted to the colleagues of the petitioners except the present petitioners in terms of their own consistent and long standing practice of station allotment by issuing a Public Notices and inviting station preferences based on seniority.

2. Learned Senior counsel for the petitioners submits that for redressal of their grievances, the petitioners have also submitted



CWP-15405-2026

-2-

representation dated 13.04.2026 (Annexure P-12) to the respondents which is still pending consideration. He further submits that at this stage, the petitioners would be satisfied, if the petitioners may be given liberty to supplement the abovesaid representation and appropriate directions are issued to the respondents to consider and decide the said representation, by passing a speaking order, in a time bound manner.

3. Notice of motion.
4. Mr. Swapan Shorey, D.A.G., Punjab accepts notice on behalf of the respondents-State. He has no objection to the innocuous prayer made by learned counsel for the petitioners.
5. I have heard learned counsel for the parties and have gone through the record of the case.
6. Without going into the merits of the case at this stage, the present petition is disposed of with a liberty to the petitioners to supplement the representation dated 13.04.2026 (Annexure P-12) within a period of two weeks from today and respondent No.2 is directed to consider and decide the said representation expeditiously, by passing a speaking order after affording an opportunity of hearing to the petitioners, preferably within a period of 06 weeks from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order. Further, the decision taken thereon shall be conveyed to the petitioners.

18.05.2026
kothiyal

(NAMIT KUMAR)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether reportable:	Yes/No