



147

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH

CRWP-5549-2026  
Date of decision: 11.05.2026

Rohini and another

....Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others

....Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY VASHISTH**

Present: Ms. Divya Narula, Advocate  
for the petitioners (through V.C.)  
  
Mr. Amish Sharma, AAG Haryana

\*\*\*\*

**SANJAY VASHISTH, J (ORAL)**

1. Prayer in the instant petition, filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, is for issuance of direction to the official respondents to provide protection of lives and liberty to the petitioners, who have married against the wishes of the private respondents.
2. After arguing for some time, learned counsel for the petitioners seeks withdrawal of the present petition with liberty to file fresh one by explaining the complete and all relevant facts, alongwith the supporting documents, as to since when both the petitioners are residing in Sirsa (State of Haryana).
3. Dismissed as withdrawn with the aforementioned liberty.

**11.05.2026**

reena

**(SANJAY VASHISTH)  
JUDGE**

- |                                |         |
|--------------------------------|---------|
| 1. Whether speaking/ reasoned: | Yes /No |
| 2. Whether reportable :        | Yes /No |