



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH.**

207

**CRM-M-26875-2026 (O&M).
Date of Decision: 19.05.2026.**

Sabir

....Petitioner.

VERSUS

State of Haryana

....Respondent.

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY VASHISTH

Present: Ms. Rosi, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Pawan Kumar Jhanda, Sr. DAG, Haryana.

SANJAY VASHISTH, J. (Oral)

Present petition has been filed by the petitioner, seeking grant of anticipatory bail, in case, FIR No.126, dated 25.04.2026, under Sections 3, 13(1), 8 and 13(3) of the Haryana Gauvansh Sanrakshan and Gausamvardhan Act, 2015, registered at Police Station Sadar Nuh, District Nuh.

2. On the previous date of hearing, respondent-State was directed to give following information to the Court:-

“(i) as to what time, information was received by the investigating officer, and if any DD entry was made in that regard or not,

(ii) in whose fields, the raid was conducted and the already slaughtered legs of cows and flesh along with cutters etc. were recovered, and

(iii) whether the statement of such person (owner of the field) was recorded or not.”

3. Today status report dated 18.05.2026 has been filed by learned State counsel, which is taken on record. Registry is directed to tag the same at an appropriate place.

4. In Para No.6 of the status report, it has been mentioned that secret information was received at 1:00 AM on 25.04.2026 and *Tehrir* was sent to the police station for information at 2:00 AM, however, the FIR was registered at 2:25 AM. Further, it is mentioned in the status report that the raid was conducted at the fields of Sajid, Jaanu and Ramjan. However, statement of none of them was recorded during investigation.

5. Referring to the recovery memo appended with the status report, learned counsel for the petitioner argues that the recovery has been effected when it was a dark night and when the recoveries were effected, nothing could be recovered.

6. It could be assumed that during such a dark night, petitioner or any other associate with him could possibly perform illegal act for which he has been made an accused but no electric product like torch or lamp etc. could be recovered from the fields, only knives, axe and cow legs were recovered. However, she submits that petitioner is already made accused in several other cases thereby being acquainted with the police officials, petitioner has been involved in the present case also.

7. I have considered the submissions addressed by the respective counsels and gone through the petition as well as status report.

8. From the recovery memo, no such product is shown to have been recovered which could have been used for making arrangement of light to make the things visible during the dark night. In view of this, petitioner is directed to join the investigation within two weeks from today or as and when

required to do so and abide by all the terms and conditions laid down under Section 482(2) of BNSS, 2023. In the event of arrest, the petitioner shall be released on bail, subject to furnishing bail bonds to the satisfaction of the Arresting Officer. The petitioner shall also abide by all the conditions laid down under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.

9. Besides, it is directed that petitioner would hand over his passport to the Investigating Agency or to Court concerned, if he possesses. Otherwise, would submit an affidavit, disclosing the fact that he does not possess any passport.

10. Accordingly, petition stands disposed of.

(SANJAY VASHISTH)
JUDGE

19.05.2026
jitender

Whether speaking/ reasoned	:	Yes/ No
Whether Reportable	:	Yes/ No