

CWP-14965 of 2026

2026:PHHC:076224



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP-14965 of 2026

Date of decision: 14.05.2026

Poonam Bhardwaj

....Petitioner

versus

State of Punjab and others

....Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE NAMIT KUMAR

Present: Mr. Kapil Kakkar, Advocate, and
Ms. Aarushi Kakkar, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Siddharth Sandhu, AAG, Punjab.

NAMIT KUMAR, J. (ORAL)

1. Instant petition has been filed under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India for directing the respondents to regularise the services of the petitioner, who is serving the respondents for the last more than 24 years to the entire satisfaction of the respondents forthwith in view of the policy instructions dated 18.03.2011 (Annexure P-1) instead of policy dated 16.05.2023 (Annexure P-5) as has been done in case of similarly situated persons on completion of three years of service vide order dated 11.12.2025 (Annexure P-4), who had approached this Court by filing CWP No.20956 of 2025 – Kulwinder Kaur and others v. State of Punjab and others and CWP-21876 of 2025 – Ankush Gupta and others v. State of Punjab and others, whereas services of the petitioner are being sought to be regularised under the 2023 policy. Further prayer has been made for holding the petitioner entitled to all consequential reliefs including the regular pay scale.

CWP-14965 of 2026

2026:PHHC:076224



2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that for redressal of her grievances, petitioner has moved representation dated 28.07.2025 (Annexure P-6) to the respondents, which is still pending consideration. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner may be given liberty to supplement the said representation by filing a fresh representation and the same may be considered and disposed of by respondent No.2 in a time-bound manner.

3. Notice of motion.

4. Mr. Siddharth Sandhu, AAG, Punjab, accepts notice on behalf of the respondents and has no objection to the innocuous prayer made by learned counsel for the petitioner.

5. I have heard learned counsel for the parties and have gone through the record of the case.

6. Without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case or the claim being made by the petitioner in the present petition, respondent No.2 is directed to consider and decide the claim made in the representation dated 28.07.2025 (Annexure P-6) and supplementary representation, if any moved by the petitioner within a period of two weeks, in accordance with law, by passing a speaking order, after affording an opportunity of hearing to the petitioner, within a period of three months from the date of receipt of said representation.

7. The petition stands disposed of.

14.05.2026

R.S.

(NAMIT KUMAR)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned:

Yes/No

Whether Reportable:

Yes/No