

CWP-15253-2026 & connected matters-1-

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

123+124+125+126 (4 cases)CWP-15253-2026
Date of Decision: 18.05.2026

Bajaj General Insurance Limited...Petitioner

Versus

Ved Singh and others...Respondents

With

Sr. No.	Case No.	Petitioner(s)	Respondent(s)
2.	CWP-15254-2026	Bajaj General Insurance Limited	Ram Karan and others
3.	CWP-15256-2026	Bajaj General Insurance Limited	Ravinder Kumar and others
4.	CWP-15257-2026	Bajaj General Insurance Limited	Parmod and others

CORAM: HON’BLE MR. JUSTICE JAGMOHAN BANSAL

Present: - Mr. Preet Harinder Singh Pannu, Advocate for the petitioner

JAGMOHAN BANSAL, J. (Oral)

1. As common issues are involved in the captioned petitions, with the consent of counsel for the petitioner, the same are hereby disposed of by this common order. For the sake of brevity and convenience, facts are borrowed from *CWP No.15253 of 2026*.
2. The petitioner through instant petition under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India is seeking setting aside of Award dated 30.01.2026 (Annexure P-3) whereby learned Permanent Lok Adalat, Sonipat (for short ‘PLA’) has allowed respondent’s application filed under Section 22C of



Legal Services Authorities Act, 1987 (for short '1987 Act') and directed the petitioner to pay a sum of ₹97,600 along with interest @ 9 % per annum to respondent No.1.

3. Respondent No.2 got respondent No.1/applicant's crop insured from petitioner by paying requisite premium after deducting the same from applicant's bank account. Crop was insured under the Pradhan Mantri Fasal Bima Yojana ('PMFBY'). Crop got damaged due to inundation. On 27.07.2018 deducted insurance premium was re-deposited in the applicant's account. Deputy Director, Agriculture and Farmers Welfare Department, Sonapat assessed and verified the loss suffered by applicants under the PMFBY, however, neither Syndicate Bank nor Insurance Company paid them compensation. Applicant-respondent No.1 approached PLA by way of an application under Section 22C of 1987 Act which sought response of the petitioner. Matter was tried to be reconciled, however, was finally adjudicated on merits. PLA has concluded that petitioner is liable to pay a sum of ₹97,600 to respondent No.1 along with interest @ 9% per annum from the date of institution of petition till date of realization.

4. As per petitioner, issue involved in the present petitions is identical to issue adjudicated by this Court vide order dated 28.03.2025 in ***CWP No.19752 of 2023, Bajaj Allianz General Insurance Company Limited v. Shamsher Singh and others.***

5. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that present petitions may be disposed of in terms of order dated 29.01.2026 passed by this Court in a bunch of petitions including ***CWP No.1192 of 2026, Bajaj General Insurance Limited v. Amit and others.***

**CWP-15253-2026 & connected matters****-3-**

6. In the wake of statement of learned counsel for the petitioner, the petitions stand disposed of in terms of order dated 28.03.2025 passed by this Court in *Shamsher Singh and others (supra)* and order dated 29.01.2026 passed in *Amit and others (supra)*.

(JAGMOHAN BANSAL)
JUDGE

18.05.2026
Mohit Kumar

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No