

2026:PHHC:054549



**IN THE PUNJAB AND HARYANA HIGH COURT AT
CHANDIGARH**

123+258

CRM-M-27535-2025 (O&M)

Date of Decision: 08.04.2026

KULWINDER SINGH AND OTHERS

... Petitioners

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASJIT SINGH BEDI.

Present: Mr. B.S. Jattana, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Attar Ahmad, AAG, Punjab.

Mr. Gurjant Singh Advocate for
Ms. Prabhjot Kaur, Advocate for
respondents No.2 and 3.

JASJIT SINGH BEDI, J. (ORAL)

CRM-13981-2026

By way of filing the instant application under Section 528 of Bharatiya Nagrik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023, the applicant-petitioner seeks addition of Section 201 of the IPC in the headnote of the petition, which was added later on by the investigating agency.

Notice of the application.

Learned counsel for the respective respondents accept the notice and contend that they have no objection to the application being allowed.

In view of the same, the application in hand is allowed and Section 201 of IPC is permitted to be added in the headnote of the petition.

Registry to carry out the necessary correction(s) forthwith.

MAIN CASE

The prayer in this petition is for quashing of an FIR No.0004 dated 07.01.2024 (Annexure P-1) registered under Sections 323, 324 and 34 and 201 (added later) of IPC, 1860 at Police Station Bareta, District Mansa alongwith all consequential proceedings arising therefrom on the basis of a compromise dated 22.12.2024 (Annexure P-2) arrived at between the parties.

Vide order dated 19.11.2025, a Coordinate Bench of this Court had directed the parties to appear before Illaqa Magistrate for getting their statements recorded with regard to the compromise dated 22.12.2024 (P-2).

The Illaqa Magistrate/trial Court was to submit a report in this regard giving certain details as enumerated in the said order.

Pursuant to the order dated 19.11.2025 passed by a Coordinate Bench of this Court, the parties have appeared before the learned Judicial Magistrate, 1st Class, Budhlada, District Mansa and as per the report dated 27.02.2026 submitted to this Court, both the parties have got recorded their respective statements in Court.

A perusal of the aforesaid report would show that the parties have effected a genuine compromise without there being any pressure, coercion or undue influence. In view of the compromise, there is a remote possibility of the complainant coming forward to support the prosecution case. The powers under Section 482 Cr.P.C. can be exercised in such like situation in order to prevent unnecessary vagaries of criminal trial to be faced by the parties, when there are remote chances of conviction of the accused. The compromise in question is

found to be fully in consonance with the direction issued by the Court in ***“Kulwinder Singh & Ors. Vs. State of Punjab 2007(3) RCR (Criminal) 1052 and Gian Singh Vs. State of Punjab & Anr., 2012(4) RCR (Crl.) 543”***.

In view of the aforesaid report of the learned Judicial Magistrate, 1st Class, Budhlada accompanied by statements of both the parties, the FIR No.0004 dated 07.01.2024 (Annexure P-1) registered under Sections 323, 324, 201 (added later) and 34 of IPC, 1860 at Police Station Bareta, District Mansa along with all consequential proceedings arising therefrom are hereby quashed qua the petitioners.

Petition stands disposed of.

APRIL 08, 2026.
Rajender

(JASJIT SINGH BEDI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No