



112 **IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CR-4127-2026 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 15.05.2026

SARAVJEET KAUR

..... Petitioner

VERSUS

S.K. SANSAL AND ANOTHER

..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE YASHVIR SINGH RATHOR

Present : Ms. Priya, Advocate for
 Mr. S.K. Bishnoi, Advocate for the petitioner.

YASHVIR SINGH RATHOR, J. (Oral)

1. The present civil revision petition has been instituted under Article 227 of the Constitution of India for directing the learned Civil Judge (Junior Division), Panchkula to decide the Civil Suit bearing No.812/2024, titled as **“S.K. Sansal Vs. General Public”**, as expeditiously as possible.
2. In view of the nature of the order proposed to be passed, issuance of notice to the respondents is dispensed with, as it would only delay the proceedings and cause unnecessary expenditure to the respondents.
3. I have heard learned counsel for the petitioner and have gone through the material on record.



4. A perusal of the record shows that the plaintiff/respondent No.1 instituted a suit for possession by way of specific performance of the agreement to sell. The suit property was owned by one Devinder Singh, who had entered into an agreement to sell the same in favour of plaintiff/respondent No.1. He had also executed a Will bequeathing certain properties in favour of his wife, daughter and sister-Saravjeet Kaur and by way of said Will, he had shown his intention that the sale deed in respect of the agreement to sell executed by him shall be executed by his sister Saravjeet Kaur on receipt of balance sale consideration. Thereafter, present petitioner/defendant along with wife and daughter of Devinder Singh instituted a probate petition under Section 276 of Indian Succession Act, which has already been disposed of in their favour vide judgment dated 07.02.2026 by the Court of Civil Judge (Senior Division), Chandigarh in Probate Petition No.17 of 27.05.2025. Now, in the suit pertaining to the specific performance of the agreement, a compromise has been entered into between the plaintiff and defendant-Saravjeet Kaur and the statements of the parties have been recorded by the Court.

5. The grievance of the petitioner/defendant is that the said suit has not been decided and is being adjourned for consideration on the compromise entered into between the parties and the statements of the parties recorded before the Court. A prayer has, therefore, been made that the trial Court be directed to dispose of the suit.



6. In view of the prayer made by the petitioner/defendant and the facts of the case, the learned Civil Judge (Junior Division), Panchkula is directed to take a decision whether the suit can be disposed of on the basis of compromise entered into between the parties and pass necessary order. The Court shall not grant unnecessary adjournments and shall make sincere efforts to dispose of the matter as expeditiously as possible.

7. Pending misc application (s), if any, shall also stand disposed of.

(YASHVIR SINGH RATHOR)
JUDGE

15.05.2026
Priyanka Thakur

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	Yes/No