



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

RSA-2485-2007 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 13.05.2026

Gurmukh Singh

.....Appellant

Vs.

State of Punjab and another

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE SUDEEPTI SHARMA

Present: None for the appellant.

Mr. Ravneet S. Joshi, DAG, Punjab,
for the respondents.

SUDEEPTI SHARMA J. (ORAL)

1. On the last date of hearing, Registry was directed to issue notice to both the parties as well as their counsel for the date fixed.
2. As per the office report, notice issued to the appellant has been received back unserved for want of complete address. It is further reported that learned counsel for the appellant has been informed through e-mail.
3. Today also, there is no representation on behalf of the appellant.
4. In view of the above, this Court is now left with no other option but to dismiss the present appeal for want of prosecution.
5. Accordingly, the present appeal is dismissed for want of prosecution.
6. Liberty is, however, reserved to the appellant to move an application to revive the present appeal in case any cause of action survives.
7. Pending application(s), if any, also stand disposed of.

(SUDEEPTI SHARMA)
JUDGE

13.05.2026

Virender

Whether speaking/non-speaking : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No