

2026:PHHC:079917



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

242

CRM M-26754-2026

Date of Decision: 18.05.2026

Raja Singh

...Petitioner (s)

Vs.

State of Punjab

...Respondents(s)

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE N.S.SHEKHAWAT

Present : Mr. Deepanshu Sharma, Advocate for
Mr. Harpinder Singh Jalal, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Charanpreet Singh, AAG, Punjab.

N.S.SHEKHAWAT, J. (Oral)

1. The petitioner has filed the present petition under Section 483 of the BNSS, 2023, with a prayer to grant him regular bail in case FIR No.163 dated 19.07.2025 under Sections 109, 115(2), 118(1), 126(2), 191(3) and 190 of BNS (corresponding Sections 307, 323, 324, 341, 148 and 149 IPC) registered at Police Station Lopoke, District Amritsar.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that he has been falsely involved in the present case due to previous enmity between the parties as the petitioner and his family members did not cast their votes in favour of Mangat Singh, a close associate of the complainant party. Even, as per the FIR, the petitioner was shown to be standing on the roof of the house and had allegedly hurled brick bats from there and no specific injury has been attributed to the petitioner. Moreover, the initial

version was presented before the police after two days of the occurrence. Still further, with the intervention of the well-wishers, the parties have settled all their disputes, which is evident from the affidavits of complainant (Annexures P-2 and P-3). The petitioner is in custody for the last about 8 months and *challan* has already been presented against him.

3. On the other hand, learned State counsel has vehemently opposed the prayer made by the learned counsel for the petitioner on the ground that there are serious allegations against the petitioner and the petitioner is not entitled for the concession of regular bail.

4. I have heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the record very carefully.

5. In the present case, the petitioner is stated to be in custody for the last about 8 months and *challan* has already been presented before the competent Court of law. Apart from that, the injured in the present case have already been discharged. Moreover, in view of the compromise between the parties, this Court is inclined to take a lenient view of the matter.

6. In view of the above, without commenting any further on the merits, the present petition is allowed and the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail on his furnishing bail bonds/surety bonds to the satisfaction of the learned trial Court/Duty Magistrate/CJM concerned.

18.05.2026
amit rana

(N.S.SHEKHAWAT)
JUDGE

Whether reasoned/speaking	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No