



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

136

CRWP-5485-2026**DEEPA AND ANOTHER****....PETITIONERS**

V/s

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS**....RESPONDENTS****Date of decision: 08.05.2026****Date of Uploading:08.05.2026****CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUMEET GOEL**

Present: Mr. Gobind Singh Randhawa, Advocate
for the petitioners.

SUMEET GOEL, J. ORAL

1. The petitioners have approached this Court for issuance of necessary directions for protecting their life and liberties which according to them are at stake because they are in a live-in-relationship. The petitioners claim themselves to be major in terms of age. According to the copies of the Aadhar Cards (Annexure P-1 and P-2), the year of birth of the petitioner No.1-Deepa is 1993 and the date of birth of the petitioner No.2-Sushil Kumar is 02.08.1990.

2. The learned counsel for the petitioners submits that a marriage is not a must for providing security to a couple in a 'live-in-relationship' because protection qua life and liberty is sacrosanct and stands at the highest pedestal. He has also placed reliance upon orders passed by the co-ordinate Bench of this Court in '*Sarabjeet Kaur and another versus State of Punjab and others*' in CRWP-102-2022 decided on 07.01.2022; '*Goutam Kumar*



and another versus State of Punjab and others' in CRWP-8088-2021 decided on 26.08.2021; *Bharti and Another Versus State of U.T., Chandigarh & others* and *'Annu Rani & Anr. vs. State of Haryana'* in CRWP-11060-2023 decided on 15.11.2023.

3. Notice of motion to respondent Nos. 1 to 3 (i.e. official respondents).

4. On the asking of the Court, Mr. Baljinder Singh Sra, Addl. AG, Punjab, accepts notice on behalf of the official respondents.

5. At this stage, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case as well as on the age and nature of the relationship between the petitioners; I deem it appropriate to direct respondent No. 2 (Senior Superintendent of Police, Kapurthala) to look into the matter and pass an appropriate order on the representation stated to have been filed by the petitioners before him/her on 07.05.2026 (Annexure P-3) & pass appropriate orders thereupon within two weeks from today.

6. However, if the petitioners are found to have been involved in any other case, then this order shall not preclude the competent authority from taking lawful action against the petitioners.

7. The petition stands disposed of. Pending application(s), if any, shall also stand disposed of.

(SUMEET GOEL)
JUDGE

08.05.2026

jatin

Whether speaking/reasoned:

Yes/No

Whether reportable:

Yes/No