



CRWP-5476-2026

1

136 **IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRWP-5476-2026

Date of Decision: 08.05.2026

PAWAN KUMAR AND ANR

...PETITIONERS

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS

....RESPONDENTS

CORAM: **HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE H.S.GREWAL**

Present: Mr. Vikram K.Bishnoi, Advocate for the petitioners.

H.S. GREWAL, J. (ORAL)

1. This Criminal Writ Petition has been filed under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India, 1950 for issuance of direction to respondent no.1 to 3 to protect the life and liberty of the petitioners and to restrain the private respondents No.4 to 8 not to harass or interfere in the peaceful Life of the petitioners. The reliance is being placed on a case reported as **Nanda Kumar and Another Vs. State of Kerala and Others** Dated 20.04.2018 (Supreme Court of India), **Priyapreet Kaur V. State of Punjab** 2021 (1) RCR (Civil) 604 and CRWP No. 4533 of 2021 titled as **Jashandeepya and Another V. State of Haryana and Others** and further it is humbly prayed that the officials respondents may kindly be directed to get her documents released from the respondent no.4 And also decide the representation dated 06.05.2026 (Annexure P-3) as per law.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that petitioner No.1 has been in a *live in* relationship with petitioner No.2. The private respondents have been extending threats of dire consequences to the petitioners as they are averse to their *live in* relationship. While drawing the attention of this Court to representation dated 06.05.2026 (Annexure P-3), learned counsel has submitted



CRWP-5476-2026

2

that the matter was reported to respondent No.2- Senior Superintendent of Police, District Fazilka seeking police help but in vain. Learned counsel submits that the petitioners would be satisfied if directions are issued to respondent No.2- Senior Superintendent of Police, District Fazilka to look into the aforesaid representation and take appropriate steps at the earliest.

3. Notice of motion to respondents No.1 to 3 only.

4. At the asking of the Court, Mr. P.S.Pandher, Asst. A.G.Punjab accepts notice on behalf of respondents No. 1 to 3.

5. In view of the limited prayer made by learned counsel for the petitioners and without commenting upon the status of *live in* relationship of the petitioners, the present petition is disposed of with directions to Senior Superintendent of Police, District Fazilka to look into the aforesaid representation qua the alleged threat perception and take necessary steps, as may be required, in accordance with law, to ensure that the life and liberty of the petitioners is not jeopardized at the hands of the private respondents. However, this direction will have no effect on any civil or criminal action, which could be initiated in the matter in accordance with law.

6. It is made clear that there is no adjudication on merits and this is not a blanket order of protection from arrest in any FIR. It is further made clear that this order shall not come in the way if the interrogation of the petitioners is required in any cognizable case.

08.05.2026

remu

Whether speaking/ reasoned :

Whether Reportable :

(H.S.GREWAL)
JUDGE

Yes/No

Yes/No