



CRWP-5509-2026

-1-

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

116

CRWP-5509-2026

Date of Decision: 12.05.2026

Sukhwinder Kaur

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE RUPINDERJIT CHAHAL

Present: Mr. Gaurav Vir Singh Behl, Advocate and
Mr. Jugraj Singh Chouhan, Advocate
for the petitioner.

RUPINDERJIT CHAHAL, J (ORAL)

1. The present petition has been filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India seeking issuance of directions to the official respondents to protect the life and liberty of the petitioner at the hands of private respondents and take action on her representation (Annexure P-12).
2. At the very outset, learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that the petitioner has made a representation (Annexure P-12) raising her grievances as mentioned in the present petition but the same has not yet been considered and no action has been taken thereon. He further submitted that at this stage, the petitioner would be satisfied if appropriate directions are issued to respondent No.2-Senior Superintendent of Police, Sangrur to decide the aforesaid representation filed by the petitioner and take necessary action thereon, qua threat perception.

**CRWP-5509-2026****-2-**

3. Notice of motion.

4. Mr. Amritpal Singh, DAG, Punjab, who is present in Court, has accepted the notice on behalf of the respondents-State and submits that the needful will be done in due course.

5. In view of the limited prayer made by the petitioner, without commenting anything on the merits of the case, the present petition is disposed of at this stage with a direction to respondent No.2-Senior Superintendent of Police, Sangrur to decide the aforesaid representation (Annexure P-12) of the petitioner qua threat perception only within a period of 15 days from today, by passing a speaking order in accordance with law.

(RUPINDERJIT CHAHAL)
JUDGE

12.05.2026*D.Bansal*

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No