



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

(283)

CRM-M-27529-2025

DATE OF DECISION: 10.02.2026

Rajender Kumar Malpani and another

.....Petitioners

VERSUS

State of Punjab and another

.....Respondents

CORAM HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUBHAS MEHLA

Present Mr. Mohti Saini, Advocate, for
Mr.Manuj Nagrath, Advocate, for the petitioners.
Mr. Subhash Godara, Addl. AG, Punjab.
Complainant through Power of Attorney
Mr.Rishabh Kapoor, who is present in person.

SUBHAS MEHLA, J (ORAL)

1. Prayer in this petition is for quashing of FIR No.67 dated 27.07.2024, under Sections 406, 420 and 120-B IPC, registered at Police Station Sadar Moga, District Moga and all subsequent proceedings arising therefrom on the basis of compromise dated 06.03.2025 (Annexure P-2) arrived at between the parties.
2. The above stated FIR was registered on the statement of the complainant/respondent No.2- Vinay Kumar.
3. In pursuance of advance notice, Mr. Subhash Godara, Addl. AG, Punjab, appeared and submitted that one petition for quashing of present FIR on merit is also pending, which is fixed for 15.07.2026, on which, learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that he will withdraw that petition.
4. On notice of motion, respondent No.2 - complainant appeared through Rishabh Kapoor, Legal Manager, and filed Power of Attorney in his name, same is taken on record. He pleaded that he has no objection if the FIR in this case is quashed on the basis of the aforesaid compromise, which



has been effected between the parties.

5. During the course of preliminary hearing, the trial Court/Illaq Magistrate was directed to record the statements of all the concerned parties with regard to genuineness and validity or otherwise of the aforesaid compromise.

6. In compliance thereof, report from the Court of learned Additional Chief Judicial Magistrate, Moga along with statements of the parties has been received, in which, it is mentioned that the compromise is genuine and there was no undue influence or coercion from any side.

7. This Court has heard learned counsel for the parties.

8. Learned counsel for the petitioner and respondent No.2 through his Power of Attorney are *ad idem* that in view of the settlement effected between the parties, the present petition deserves to be accepted. It has also come on record that the aforesaid compromise is genuine and the parties effected the same without any undue influence or coercion.

9. In view of above, nothing remains to be adjudicated further in the present case. Thus, continuation of the criminal proceedings between the parties would be a futile exercise and sheer wastage of time of the Court and thus, amounts to abuse of process of law.

10. For the reasons afore-stated and having regard to the law laid down by Hon'ble Apex Court in ***Gian Singh v. State of Punjab and another, 2012 (4) RCR (Criminal) 543*** and Five Judges Bench of this Court in ***Kulwinder Singh and others v. State of Punjab and another, 2007(3) RCR (Criminal) 1052***, this petition is allowed and FIR No.67 dated 27.07.2024, under Sections 406, 420 and 120-B IPC, registered at Police



CRM-M-27529-2025 **3**

hereby quashed qua the petitioners, subject to payment of Rs.20,000/- as costs, which has already been deposited.

10.02.2026
mamta

(SUBHAS MEHLA)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No