

CRR-1275-2026 (O & M)

2026:PHHC:075363



::1::

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH
(113)

CRR-1275-2026 (O & M)
Date of decision: 13.05.2026

Chanderjeet

..... Petitioner

V/s

State of Haryana and anr.

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASJIT SINGH BEDI

Present: Mr. Babbar Bhan, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

JASJIT SINGH BEDI, J. (Oral)

The prayer in the present petition is for setting aside the order dated 24.04.2026 whereby the petitioner has been summoned under Section 319 Cr.P.C. (Section 358 BNSS) as an additional accused. .

2. The brief facts of the case are that an FIR No.15 dated 20.01.2025 came to be registered under Sections 109(1) 3(5), 333, 61 BNS and Sections 25, 27 of the Arms Act at Police Station Rai, District Sonipat (Annexure P-1) and the same reads as under:-

To, SHO Sahib, P.S. Rai. Sir, I submit that my name is Advocate Vijender Singh S/o Lt. Umed Singh. I reside in village Ridhau in Jathedi. Currently I am staying at B3, G02, Tulip Grand Society, Barota. I have my law and property office on Barota-Jathedi Road. And today on 20 Jan 2025 at approximately 5 PM, I came outside with my friend Pardeep in the evening and I went inside my office and sat down, and Pardeep sat in the shop



::2::

behind the market at around 5:20 PM. Two boys came towards my office side, one of whom was Sachin S/o Pawan, village Ridhau and the other I did not recognize. Sachin was wearing a Grey color jacket and the other was wearing a Yellow White color jacket. Sachin came inside the office and the other stood outside. Sachin said "Bantu, alias Indrjeet S/o Dhoop Singh and Chandu alias Chander Jit S/o Dhoop Singh from village Ridhau has sent you you have to be killed" and saying this he took out a Pistol from his pocket and fired towards me. I ducked to one side and the bullet hit the wall behind and got stuck, and he again tried to fire the Pistol but it jammed meaning it got stuck and both of them said: "Today you survived but Bantu and Chandu and their family will not let you compromise, and if we don't get a settlement, we will kill you" and saying this both boys ran from the spot taking their weapons with them. Chandu and Bantu alias Indrjeet and Chanderjeet, against whom a previous FIR has already been filed, for which I was given Police Protection, and that case is currently pending in court. Now this FIR should also be considered in court against Sachin S/o Pawan and others for illegal action. Complainant: SD/- Vijender Vijende 20 Jan 2025, Time 09:30 P Village Ridhau, Present Add: B3, G02, Tulip Grand Society, Barota Mobile: 9812022220

3. During the course of the investigation, the petitioner was exonerated and placed in Column No.II when the challan was submitted in the Court.

4. During the course of the Trial, the statement of the complainant-Vijender Singh was recorded as PW-1. On the basis of the said



::3::

statement, an application under Section 319 Cr.P.C. (Section 358 BNSS) was moved and the petitioner came to be summoned vide order dated 24.04.2026 which order has been impugned in the present petition.

5. The learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the petitioner has been summoned on the basis of conjectures and surmises without any admissible evidence whatsoever. Except being named in the FIR and in the deposition of the complainant/PW-1, there is no other inculpatory evidence available on the record against him. He, therefore, prays that the impugned order dated 24.04.2026 be set aside.

6. Notice of motion.

7. Mr. Viney Phogat, DAG, Haryana, for respondent No.1-State and Mr. Gagandeep Rana, Advocate, for respondent No.2, present in the Court, accept notice on behalf of their respective parties. They submit that there is sufficient evidence on the record to summon the petitioner as an additional accused and therefore, the present petition is liable to be dismissed.

8. I have heard the learned counsel for the parties.

9. The FIR (Annexure P-1) and the deposition of the complainant/PW-1 Vijender Singh are consistent to the effect that the assailants stated that Bantu @ Inderjeet and Chintu @ Chanderjeet (petitioner) had sent them to kill the complainant, pursuant to which the shots were fired. There is prior civil litigation pending between the parties



::4::

criminal intimidation. From the evidence available on record, it has been found that the brother of the petitioner, namely, Bantu @ Inderjeet was seen coming with the assailants and going towards the office of the complainant.

10. Apparently, the motive clearly lies with the petitioner and his brother/Bantu @ Inderjeet. Therefore, at this stage, there is more than *prima facie* evidence available on the record to summon the petitioner as an additional accused to face Trial alongwith the accused already facing Trial.

11. In view of the above, I find no merit in the present petition and the same stands dismissed.

12. The pending application(s), if any, shall stand disposed of accordingly.

May 13, 2026
sukhpreet

(JASJIT SINGH BEDI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No