

**CRM-M-26691-2026****1****213 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH****CRM-M-26691-2026
Date of Decision: 15.05.2026****SOURABH SAHOTA @ SOURAB @ GHAHGAR****... PETITIONER****VERSUS****STATE OF PUNJAB****...RESPONDENT****CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASJIT SINGH BEDI****Present: Mr. Amarbir S. Shergill, Advocate
for the petitioner.****Mr. M.S. Toor, AAG Punjab.****Ms. Ruchi Sharma, Advocate for
Mr. Simranjit Singh, Advocate
for the complainant.*************JASJIT SINGH BEDI, J.**

The prayer in the present petition under Section 483 BNSS is for the grant of regular bail in case bearing FIR No.134 dated 29.07.2024 registered under Sections 309(4), 3(5) BNS (Sections 317(2) and 238 and 309(6) of BNS added later on) of the BNS, 2023 at Police Station Gate Hakima, Amritsar, Punjab.

2. The learned counsel for the petitioner contends that a compromise has been arrived at between the parties and a quashing petition based on the said compromise has been filed, which is pending adjudication for 13.08.2026. As the petitioner is in custody since 30.07.2024 but only 01 of the 20 prosecution witnesses has been examined so far, the Trial of the present case is not likely to be concluded anytime soon and therefore, he is

**CRM-M-26691-2026****2**

entitled to the concession of bail moreso when a co-accused namely Mohit Kumar @ Ajay has been granted to concession of bail vide order dated 29.04.2026.

3. The learned counsel for the State, on the other hand, submits that though a compromise has been arrived at between the parties, the allegations leveled against the petitioner are grave and therefore he is not entitled to the concession of bail. He, however, concedes that the petitioner is in custody since 30.07.2024 and that only 01 of the 20 prosecution witnesses has been examined so far.

5. The learned counsel for the complainant does not dispute the factum of a compromise having been arrived at between the parties and states that he has no objection, if the petitioner is granted the concession of bail.

6. I have heard the learned counsel for the parties.

7. Admittedly, a compromise has been arrived at between the parties and CRM-M-6590-2026 has been filed seeking quashing of the FIR on the basis of a compromise, which is pending adjudication for 13.08.2026. As the petitioner is in custody since 30.07.2026 but only 01 of the 20 prosecution witnesses has been examined so far, the Trial of the present case is not likely to be concluded anytime soon. In this situation, the further incarceration of the petitioner is not required moreso when a co-accused namely Mohit Kumar @ Ajay has been granted to concession of bail vide order dated 29.04.2026.

8. Thus, without commenting upon the merits of the case, the present petition is allowed and the petitioner, namely, Sourabh Sahota @

2026:PHHC:076756



CRM-M-26691-2026

3

Sourab @ Ghahgar S/o Ninder Singh is ordered to be released on bail to the satisfaction of the Trial Court/Duty Magistrate concerned upon furnishing fresh bail bonds/surety bonds.

9. The petition stands disposed of.

(JASJIT SINGH BEDI)
JUDGE

15.05.2026

kusum

Whether speaking/reasoned:- Yes/No
Whether reportable:- Yes/No