



CWP-14463-2026

-1-

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

133

CWP-14463-2026

Date of Decision: 11.05.2026

Siya Nand and another

...Petitioners

Versus

State of U.T. Chandigarh and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JAGMOHAN BANSAL

Present: - Mr. Bikramjit Singh Bajwa, Advocate for the petitioners
Mr. Anmol Singh Mann, Additional Standing Counsel and
Mr. Gaurav Vir Singh Behl, Junior Standing Counsel
for respondent Nos.1 to 3- U.T. Chandigarh

JAGMOHAN BANSAL, J. (Oral)

1. The petitioners through instant petition under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India are seeking direction to respondent Nos.2 and 3 to consider their representation dated 06.04.2026 whereby they have requested to arrange their meeting with their grand-daughter as respondent No.4 is not allowing them to meet.

2. As per pleadings, respondent No.4 is daughter-in-law of petitioners. Son of petitioners was murdered on 23.11.2025. They have one grand-daughter. Their daughter-in-law has left matrimonial home along with her daughter. The petitioners want to meet their grand-daughter, however, respondent No.4 is not permitting. They have filed representation dated 06.04.2026 to respondent Nos.2 and 3 with a request to take necessary steps so that petitioners may meet their grand-daughter.

3. Learned counsel for respondent Nos.1 to 3 submits that petitioner may approach Family Court or avail other alternative remedies,

**CWP-14463-2026****-2-**

however, writ petition is not maintainable because police or any other Government Authority cannot compel respondent No.4 to give visitation rights to the petitioners.

4. Faced with this, learned counsel for the petitioners seeks permission to withdraw the petition with liberty to approach Court of competent jurisdiction.

5. Dismissed as withdrawn with aforesaid liberty.

(JAGMOHAN BANSAL)
JUDGE

11.05.2026*Mohit Kumar*

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No