


IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

2026.PHHC:009602



FAO-4668-2008 (O&M)
Date of decision: 22.01.2026

TALIM ..Appellant

Versus

MANPAL ..Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE SUDEEPTI SHARMA

Present: None for the appellant.

None for respondent No.1 and 2.

Mr. Nitish Sharma, Advocate
for Mr. Ravinder Arora, Advocate
for respondent No.3-insurance Company.

SUDEEPTI SHARMA, J. (Oral)

1. On the last date of hearing i.e. 11.11.2025, no one had put in appearance on behalf of the parties and registry was directed to issue notice to the parties as well their counsel.
2. As per the report of registry, notice issued to appellant received back unserved due to incomplete/incorrect address “*adampata*” and notice issued to respondent No.1 not received back served or otherwise and respondent No.2 is reported to have died.
3. Hence, this Court is left with no other choice but to dismiss the present appeal for non-prosecution.
4. Dismissed for non-prosecution.
5. All the pending miscellaneous applications, if any, are also disposed of.

January 22nd, 2026

Ayub/Saahil

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No

(SUDEEPTI SHARMA)
JUDGE